# Total Synthesis of Chlorocyclinone A, a PPAR‑γ Antagonist

Raju Karmakar and Dipakranjan Mal\*

Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of [Te](#page-12-0)chnology, Kharagpur 721302, India

**S** Supporting Information

[ABSTRACT:](#page-12-0) The first total synthesis of chlorocyclinone A (1) is regioselectively completed in 28 steps. The key steps are Pd-catalyzed methoxycarbonylation, unprecedented Hauser annulation, Krohn photo-oxidation, and regioselective gemdichlorination.

# **INTRODUCTION**

The angucycline family of antibiotics, characterized as benz-  $[a]$ anthraquinone derivatives, has been the subject of growing interest due to the wide range of their structures and biological activities.<sup>1</sup> In 2007, four new chlorinated members, namely chlorocyclinones A−D (1−4, Figure 1), were isolated from a broth cu[ltu](#page-12-0)re of Streptomyces sp. (DSM 17045) by Potterat and co-workers and their structures wer[e](#page-1-0) elucidated by spectroscopic methods.<sup>2</sup> Seven different substituents/functionalities including chlorine at C2, similar to that of the antitumor antibiotic BE-45[98](#page-12-0)5A<sub>1</sub> (5),<sup>3</sup> have featured this unique group of angucyclines. Each of the chlorocyclinones (1−4), the first PPAR-γ antagonists of n[at](#page-12-0)ural origin, has been reported to antagonize rosiglitazone-induced PPAR- $\gamma$  activity with IC<sub>50</sub> values between 0.60 and 7.0  $\mu$ M, thus implying therapeutic potential in antidiabetic therapies, especially for the treatment of type II diabetes. The combination of the structural novelty and the unique PPAR-γ activity of the molecules prompted us to undertake their total synthesis. Although the synthesis of angucyclines, in general, is an active area of research, $4$  that of chlorocyclinones has not so far been reported.<sup>5</sup>

The primary challenge of the synthesis of such mo[le](#page-12-0)cules is regioselective assembly of the peripheral substi[tu](#page-12-0)ents/functionalities with concomitant fabrication of the skeletal features. In continuation of our work on the application of Hauser annulation $^6$  toward the total synthesis $^{7\mathrm{a,b}}$  of angucycline natural products, we undertook the project of the total synthesis of chlorocycl[in](#page-12-0)one A−D (1−4). The m[ajor](#page-12-0) impetus for choosing the annulation was its regiochemical integrity and high chemoselectivity. Since the structural attributes of A, B, and C rings are identical for all the members 1−4, we proposed Hauser donor 6 (Scheme 1) as the common intermediate for the synthesis of chlorocyclinone  $A(1)$ . It can be annulated with cyclohexenone carboxylate 7 to furnish tetracyclic angular quinol 8, which, in turn, [ca](#page-1-0)n be aromatized to the advanced intermediate 9 having all requisite functionalities.

# ■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Although the Hauser chemistry of such angular phthalides (e.g., 6) is unprecedented, the earlier studies with linear phthalides suggested the sure success of the outlined scheme (Scheme 1).



Yet, an annulation study on the model angular phthalide 10 was initially conducted. Synthesis of the phthalide 10 was developed in nine steps (Scheme 2), starting from commercially available 6-hydroxytetralin (11) via carboxylation and ortho-lithiation followed by phenyls[ulf](#page-1-0)anylation. Following the literature procedure, $8\,$  6-hydroxytetralin-7-carboxylic acid  $(12)^8\,$  was prepared in two steps from 11. The  $^1\mathrm{H}$  and  $^{13}\mathrm{C}$  NMR data of 12 are [in](#page-12-0) good agreement with the reported value[s.](#page-12-0) The resulting hydroxy acid 12 was then converted into corresponding amide  $13^9$  in 72% yield (over three steps). The sequence consisted of (i)  $Me<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ ,  $K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  mediated esterification to furnish  $14,^{10}$  [\(](#page-12-0)ii) ester hydrolysis to give acid  $15,^{8}$  and (iii) amidation of the resulting acid 15 by treatment with oxalyl chloride fo[llo](#page-12-0)wed by diethylamine. Directed ortho-[me](#page-12-0)talation<sup>11</sup> of the amide 13 with t-BuLi, TMEDA, and DMF provided aldehyde 16 (55%). This was then converted into angu[lar](#page-12-0) phthalaldehydic acid 17 in 85% yield by acid catalyzed hydrolysis. Treatment of 17 with thiophenol and a catalytic amount of p-TSA in refluxing benzene gave angular phthalide 18. Regioselective benzylic oxidation<sup>12</sup> of 18 with K<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub>− CuSO4·5H2O in CH3CN−H2O produced the desired 3 substituted angular phthalide 10 in 7[8%](#page-12-0) yield.

An attempted Hauser annulation of the phthalide 10 with 2 cyclohexenone in the presence of t-BuOLi, t-BuOK, or LDA at −78 °C resulted in recovery of the starting phthalide (Scheme 3). The desired Hauser product  $(cf. 8)$  was not formed. However, angular phthalide 18 underwent reaction with 2 [cy](#page-1-0)clohexenone under the above conditions to yield conjugate addition product 19a in good yield (67%). Unfortunately its transformation to the desired annulation product 20a under treatment with t-BuOLi−THF, NaOEt−EtOH, or t-BuOK/ Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>−THF at −78 °C (Scheme 3) could not be effected. Similarly, annulation of methyl acrylate with phthalide 18 in the presence of t-BuOLi−THF at −78 [°](#page-1-0)C, as a test case, also produced corresponding 1,4-addition product 21 (82%, Figure 2), while that of phthalide 18 with 22 (Figure 2) yielded an intractable mixture of products.

Received: September 4, 2012 Published: October 18, 2012

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

Figure 1. Structures of A-ring chlorinated angucyclinones.





Scheme 2. Synthesis of Angular Phthalides 10 and  $18^a$ 



a<br>Reagents and conditions: (a)  $\text{Me}_2\text{SO}_4$ ,  $\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3$ , acetone, reflux, 3 h, 90%; (b) 5% aq KOH solution, reflux, 0.5 h, 82%; (c) (i) oxalyl chloride, DMF (cat.), dry C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, 65 °C, 2 h and (ii) Et<sub>2</sub>NH, dry THF, 0 °C−rt, 15 min, 98%; (d) t-BuLi, TMEDA, DMF, dry THF, N<sub>2</sub> atm, −78 °C−rt, overnight, 59%; (e) glacial AcOH, aq HCl, reflux, 10 h, 85%; (f) PhSH, p-TSA (cat.), dry C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, reflux, 10 h, 70%; (g) K<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub>, CuSO<sub>4</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O, CH<sub>3</sub>CN−H<sub>2</sub>O (1:1), reflux, 20 min, 78%.





a<br>Reagents and conditions: (a) *t-*BuOLi, *t-BuOK or LDA or LiHMDS, 2-cyclohexenone, THF, −78−60 °C. (b) <i>t-BuOLi, THF or NaOEt, EtOH, etc.* 

The unanticipated failed annulations prompted us to examine the reactivity of a few modified angular phthalides, e.g. 23−25 (Scheme 4), toward Hauser annulation. Oxidation of 18 with  $m$ -CPBA in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> furnished corresponding sulfone phthalide 23 in 91% yield. DDQ mediated dehydrogenation of 18 produced aromatized phthalide 24 in moderate yield (55%). For the synthesis of cyanophthalide 25, compound 17 was treated with KCN and conc. HCl followed by Vilsmeier's salt or



NaCN−AcOH, but to no avail. After several experimentations, the desired 3-cyanophthalide 25 was obtained in 95% yield by treatment of formamide 16 with trimethylsilyl cyanide in the presence of a catalytic amount of potassium cyanide and 18 crown- $6.^{13}$ 

Once again, to our dismay, angular phthalides 23 and 24 failed t[o p](#page-12-0)roduce annulation products, when subjected to a reaction with 2-cyclohexenone under varied reaction conditions (t-BuOLi, LDA or LiHMDS in THF at −78−60 °C). In both cases, the corresponding 1,4-addition products, i.e. 19b (5%) and 19d (80%), were respectively obtained (Scheme 3). When 3-cyanophthalide 25 was treated with 2-cyclohexenone in the presence of *t-*BuOLi, K<sup>†</sup>OBu or LDA in dry THF at −78 °C or t-BuOK-DMSO at rt, a complex mixture of pro[du](#page-1-0)cts was obtained. The presence of the corresponding 1,4-addition product 19c was indicated from analysis of the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of the crude reaction mixture.

Anticipating that 2-cyclohexenone might undergo basecatalyzed polymerization, we investigated a base-stable Michael acceptor, i.e., 26 (Figure 2). Its reaction with cyanophthalide 25 in the presence of t-BuOLi in dry THF at −78 °C again furnished an intractable mixture of products.

The exceptional failures of the Hauser annulation of angular phthalides 10, 23, 24, and 25 compelled us to formulate the sequence (Scheme 5) involving annulation of phthalide 27 with napthalenone  $28^{7b}$  and the directed ortho-metalation<sup>14</sup> or Yu lactonization<sup>15</sup> of [t](#page-3-0)he tetracyclic carboxylic acid 29. The structure 29 wa[s e](#page-12-0)nvisaged as the common interm[edi](#page-12-0)ate on account of t[he](#page-12-0) fact that the structural diversity of the target chlorocyclinones (1−4) emanates from C9.

The intermediate 29 was synthesized in 22 steps from commercially available chemicals (Scheme 6). Base catalyzed condensation of methyl acetoacetate with methyl crotonate produc[ed](#page-3-0) compound  $30^{16}$  Hg(OAc)<sub>2</sub><sup>17</sup> mediated aromatization and  $Tf_2O$ -lutidine<sup>18</sup> promoted selective triflation afforded known compounds  $31^{19}$  [\(7](#page-12-0)3%) and  $32^{20}$  $32^{20}$  (87%) respectively. O-Methylation of 32 [with](#page-12-0) MeI–K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> furnished toluate 33 in excellent yield. Metho[xyc](#page-12-0)arbonylation<sup>20</sup> [of](#page-12-0) the triflate 33 with

Scheme 4. Synthesis of Angular Pht[ha](#page-12-0)lide Derivatives

CO, dry MeOH, dppf, Et<sub>3</sub>N, and Pd $(OAc)_2$  catalyst in DMF at 90−120 °C afforded compound 34 in 48% yield (Scheme 6). Before undertaking the synthesis of required phthalide 27 from diester 34, its bromination at C3 was attempted using Br<sub>2</sub>− AcOH, with the idea that a late stage coupling reaction through Stille, Suzuki, and Negishi cross-coupling would introduce vinyl or ethyl side chains at C9 of the targets. But, unfortunately, the undesired bromoterephthalate 35 was obtained. The site of the bromine atom at C2 was established by NOESY experiment. $21$ The terephthalate 34 was converted into cyanoisobenzofuranone 27 in four steps: benzylic bromination followed [by](#page-12-0) thermal cyclization,<sup>22</sup> selective lateral bromination, hydrolysis, and hydrocyanation via lactone 36 and 3-bromoisobenzofuranone 37, with an [ove](#page-12-0)rall yield of 34%. During hydrolysis of 37, the temperature of H<sub>2</sub>O was maintained at 80−85 °C to obtain 38 solely or to obviate C5 ester hydrolyzed product 39, as indicated in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum. The AB ring synthon  $28^{7b}$ was obtained in five known steps. Annulation of phthalide 27 with acceptor 28 in the presence of LDA gave a very low yi[eld](#page-12-0) of 40 along with the starting materials. But, in the presence of t-BuOLi at −78 °C, the benzanthraquinone 40 was obtained in 71% yield. Krohn photo-oxidation<sup>23</sup> of 40 under sunlight provided keto derivative 41 in quantitative yield. After several experimentations, monochlorination<sup>[24](#page-12-0)</sup> of  $41$  at C2 could be achieved using N-chlorosuccinimide and a catalytic amount of thiourea in MeOH−CHCl3, yield[ing](#page-12-0) 42 as a mixture of diastereomers in 65% yield. Aromatization of the 2-chlorotetracyclic compound 42 by the Saegusa method<sup>25</sup> and  $DDQ^{25}$ mediated oxidation posed serious problems. Consequently, the ortho-chloro phenolic A-ring motif was thought [to](#page-12-0) be fabricat[ed](#page-12-0) by gem- $\alpha$ -dichlorination of 43, followed by aromatization via dehydrochlorination. The inverse sequence, i.e., aromatization of 43 followed by chlorination at C2, was avoided due to the anticipated problems in selectivities.<sup>26</sup> After brief experimentations, O-methyl ether 43 was gem- $\alpha$ -dichlorinated by the application of the Prugh method<sup>27</sup> [usi](#page-12-0)ng  $SO_2Cl_2$  and dry HCl gas to form 44 in 85% yield. Dehydrohalogenation<sup>28</sup> of 44 with NaOMe in refluxing methanol g[ave](#page-12-0) A-ring aromatized product 45 in 94% yield, the C1-OH and C10-CO<sub>2</sub>H [pro](#page-12-0)tection of which yielded compound 46 in 81% yield. Hydrolysis of the ester group of 46 with LiOH (19 equiv) furnished 2 chlorotetracyclic intermediate 29 in 89% yield with A−C ring substituents in desired positions. Although the proposed Hauser annulation, i.e.  $27 \rightarrow 40$ , was successful this time (Scheme 6), the projected directed ortho-metalation and Yu cyclization of  $29$  at the C9 position failed.<sup>29</sup> In analogy with Mortier's [w](#page-3-0)ork,<sup>14</sup> several attempts were made to install an ethyl



<span id="page-3-0"></span>Scheme 5. Second Approach: Annulation of Linear Phthalide Combined with Directed ortho-Metalation or Yu Lactonization



Scheme 6. Synthesis of Tetracyclic Chlorocyclinone  $29<sup>a</sup>$ 



a<br>Reagents and conditions: (a) Hg(OAc)<sub>2</sub>, NaOAc, AcOH, reflux, 2 h, 82%; (b) Tf<sub>2</sub>O, 2,6-lutidine, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 0 °C−rt, N<sub>2</sub> atm, 18 h, 70%; (c) MeI, K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, acetone, 0 °C−rt, 96%; (d) CO, MeOH, Et<sub>3</sub>N, dppf, Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub>, DMF, 90–120 °C, 48%; (e) Br<sub>2</sub>–AcOH, rt, 70%; (f) (i) NBS, AIBN, CCl<sub>4</sub>, hν, reflux and (ii) 150−160 °C, 30 min, 69% (2 steps); (g) NBS, AIBN, CCl<sub>4</sub>−C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, hν, reflux, 70%; (h) H<sub>2</sub>O, 80 °C, 90%; (i) KCN, conc. HCl, 0 °C−rt, 71%; (j) 28, t-BuOLi, THF, N<sub>2</sub> atm, −78 °C−rt; 71%; (k) CHCl<sub>3</sub>, sunlight, 5−6 h, quantitative yield; (l) MeI, K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, acetone, 0 °C−rt, 87%; (m) NCS, thiourea (cat.), MeOH–CHCl<sub>3</sub>, rt, 65%; (n) SO<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, dry HCl, CHCl<sub>3</sub>, rt, 85%; (o) NaOMe, MeOH, reflux, 94%; (p) MeI, K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, acetone, 0 °C−rt, 81%; (q) LiOH, THF−H2O (5:1), rt, 4 h, 89%. dppf: 1,1-diphenylphosphinoferrocene; LTMP: Lithium tetramethylpiperidide; TMP: 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine; TMEDA: tetramethylethylenediamine; NCS: N-chlorosuccinimide.

group at C9 of tetracyclic acid 29. Lithiation with LTMP (n-BuLi + TMP) followed by treatment with EtI in THF at −30 to 60 °C failed to give the desired product. The model reaction of 4-methoxy-9,10-anthraquinone-2-carboxylic acid amide with t-BuLi, TMEDA, and EtI at −78 °C in THF returned only the starting material. Nevertheless, Scheme 6 paved the way for the standardization of A-ring chemistry, especially the introduction of C1 methoxy and C2 chlorine.

In the revised approach, annulation of isobenzofuranone 47 was investigated, which differs from 27 with respect to an ethyl substituent at C6. Its synthesis began with Friedel−Crafts

acylation<sup>30</sup> of  $48^{31}$  in the presence of TiCl<sub>4</sub> to provide heavily substituted benzene derivative 49 in 75% yield (Scheme 7). To achieve [sele](#page-12-0)ctivit[y i](#page-12-0)n bromination, compound 49 was converted into its acetate 50. NBS bromination of 50 follo[wed](#page-4-0) by thermolysis<sup>22</sup> followed by deacetylation of  $51$  provided compound 52 (52% over two steps). The sequence involving (i) reducti[on](#page-12-0)<sup>32</sup> of the acyl carbonyl in  $52$  with trifluoroacetic acid and triethylsilane, (ii) BBr<sub>3</sub>-mediated demethylation of  $53<sup>33</sup>$  and (ii[i\)](#page-12-0) selective protection<sup>20</sup> leading to activation of phenolic OH group of 54 using N-phenyltriflimide and  $Cs_2CO_3$ at [10](#page-12-0)−15 °C, followed by O-methy[lat](#page-12-0)ion of ensuing triflate 55,

# <span id="page-4-0"></span>Scheme 7. Synthesis of Isobenzofuranone  $47^a$



ORTEP view of 57

a Reagents and conditions: (a) TiCl<sub>4</sub>, CH<sub>3</sub>COCl, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 0 °C−rt, 75%; (b) CH<sub>3</sub>COCl, Et<sub>3</sub>N, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 0 °C−rt, 96%; (c) (i) NBS, (PhCO)<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, CCl<sub>4</sub>, hv, reflux and (ii) 150−160 °C, 63% (2 steps); (d) MeOH, K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, 0 °C−rt, 83%; (e) TFA, Et<sub>3</sub>SiH, rt, 87%; (f) BBr<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 0 °C−rt, 86%; (g) PhNTf<sub>2</sub>, Cs<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, acetone, 0−15 °C, 66%; (h) MeI, K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, acetone, 0 °C−rt, 100%; (i) CO, Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub>, dppf, MeOH, Et<sub>3</sub>N, DMF, 90−120 °C, 50%; (j) NBS, AIBN, CCl<sub>4</sub>, hv, reflux, 58%; (k) H<sub>2</sub>O, 80 °C, 95%; (l) PhSH, Et<sub>3</sub>N, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, rt, 6 h, 74%; (m) (i) KCN, conc. HCl, 0 °C−rt and (ii) p-TSA (cat.), CHCl3, rt, 71%. TFA: trifluoroacetic acid; AIBN: azobisisobutylonitrile.

# Scheme 8. Successful Synthesis of Chlorocyclinone A  $(1)^a$





produced compound 56 (49% over four steps). During selective O-triflation, the low temperature was crucial to avoiding ditriflation $34$  of 54 and thus low overall yield. Pdcatalyzed methoxycarbonylation<sup>20</sup> of the triflate  $56$  with carbon

monoxide afforded ester 57 (50%) along with 6-ethyl-7 methoxy-3H-isobenzofuran-1-one (58) as a side product (10%). The structure of 57 was confirmed by an X-ray crystallographic analysis.<sup>21</sup> NBS mediated bromination of

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

# $a$ <sub>s:</sub> strong <sup>1</sup>H<sup>-13</sup>C HMBC interaction.

phthalide 57 in refluxing  $CCl<sub>4</sub>$  under light (100 W) selectively produced 59 in 58% yield as the sole product. The resulting 3 bromophthalide 59 was carefully heated in water at 80 °C (to avoid C5 ester hydrolysis) to obtain acid 60 in 95% yield. Compound 59, when treated with thiophenol and  $Et_3N$ , furnished corresponding 3-SPh phthalide 61 in 74% yield. Treatment of the compound 60 with KCN in an acidic medium at 0 °C resulted in the corresponding cyanohydrin 62, which underwent lactonization to the desired cyanophthalide 47 in 71% yield, upon standing overnight at rt in chloroform solvent containing a catalytic amount of p-TSA.

Next, we explored the competition between the Hauser annulation and Staunton–Weinreb annulation<sup>35</sup> of isobenzofuranone 47 under the influence of a base weaker than LDA, which is known to effect both of the ann[ula](#page-12-0)tions. When cyanophthalide 47 and napthalenone 28 were submitted to annulation (Scheme 8) in the presence of t-BuOLi at  $-78$  °C, tetracycle 63 was formed as the sole product (85%). There was no sign of a Stau[nto](#page-4-0)n−Weinreb product, i.e., a Michael− Claisen product arising from lithiation of the ethyl chain of 47. It should be noted that sulfanylphthalide 61 failed to provide annulation product 63, when treated with napthalenone 28 under similar conditons. Then intermediate 64 was regioselectively prepared in five steps from 63 (Scheme 8). As earlier, compound 63 was selectively oxidized to keto compound 65 in quantitative yield under Krohn conditions.<sup>23</sup> [In](#page-4-0) a similar manner as described for 41→46, compound 65 was converted to 64 via 66−68, the chlorocyclinone A meth[yl](#page-12-0) diether, having all the groups in desired positions, with an overall yield of 51% (four steps).

The site of the chlorine atoms in 67 was ascertained by NOE studies.<sup>21</sup> The cross peaks due to NOE interaction between  $H^4$ and  $H<sup>5</sup>$  confirm the location of two chlorine atoms at the C2 positio[n](#page-12-0) and not at C4 (Table 1). The  $\mathrm{^{1}H-^{13}C}$   $\mathrm{HMBC}^{21}$ studies of 68 again support its structural arrangement. Finally, we attempted the selective demethylation of the C6 and [C8](#page-12-0) OMe group of  $64$  to complete the total synthesis. AlCl<sub>3</sub>, a wellknown reagent for selective demethylations,<sup>36</sup> was employed to meet this purpose. But, to our dismay, the reaction resulted in a complex mixture of products.  $BBr_3$ -m[ed](#page-13-0)iated demethylation at  $-78$  °C was unsuccessful. Treatment of 64 or 68 with BCl<sub>3</sub> in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at  $-78$  °C produced a compound with two H-bonded phenolic OH groups  $(\delta$  12.72 and 10.80 respectively) in excellent yield (90%), which is confirmed as 69 by analysis of HMBC<sup>21</sup> and HSQC spectral data (Table 1). With selective demethylation being abortive at the final step, selective methyl[atio](#page-12-0)n was perceived to be applied. For the preparation of the required trihydroxy compound 70, compound 69 was treated with a large excess of AlCl<sub>3</sub> (40 equiv) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> to

furnish the trihydroxy compound 70 in 64% yield, which was strikingly unstable, apparently due to its susceptibility toward oxidation. The resulting crude compound 70 was immediately subjected to methylation using MeI– $K_2CO_3$  in acetone and the chlorocyclinone A (1) was obtained in 39% yield. The spectral data of the synthetic 1 are in good agreement with the reported values.<sup>2</sup>

### ■ **C[O](#page-12-0)NCLUSIONS**

In conclusion, the first total synthesis of chlorocyclinone  $A(1)$ has been achieved in 28 steps from commercially available starting materials. This study also has resulted in two methyl ethers, namely, 64 and 69. Although selective demethylation of 64 remains a factual challenge, selective methylation of the phenolic OH group is found to be more convenient than selective O-demethylation.

#### **EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

General. All reactions utilizing moisture-sensitive reagents were performed under an inert atmosphere. Solvents DMF, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, THF, MeOH, etc. were dried prior to use, according to the standard protocols. Reactions were monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) carried out on 0.25 mm silica gel plates (60-F254). All solvents for chromatography were distilled prior to use. The products were purified by column chromatography on silica gel. Columns were prepared with silica gel (60−120 or 230−400 mesh). NMR spectra were recorded with a 400 MHz  $(^1H:$  400 MHz,  $^{13}C:$  100 MHz) and 200 MHz (<sup>1</sup>H: 200 MHz, <sup>13</sup>C: 50 MHz) spectrometer and referenced to the signal of CHCl<sub>3</sub> at 7.26 ppm  $(^1H)$  and 77.16 ppm  $(^{13}C)$  for CDCl<sub>3</sub>. Another solvent used for recording NMR data was  $d_6$ -DMSO. Splitting patterns are indicated as follows: br, broad; s, singlet; d, doublet; dd, double doublet; t, triplet; q, quartet; m, multiplet. Infrared spectra were recorded with FT-IR spectrophotometers and reported as wavenumbers (cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> ). Melting points are uncorrected. High-resolution mass spectra were recorded with a mass spectrometer in positive ion mode. The phrase "usual workup" refers to washing of the organic phase with water  $(2 \times 1/4$  the volume of organic phase) and brine  $(1)$  $\times$  1/4 the volume of organic phase) and drying (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), filtration, and concentration under reduced pressure. Solvents used for the column chromatography are ethyl acetate and petroleum ether. Due to partial decomposition under thermal conditions, HRMS data of compounds 37, 59, 62, and 70 could not satisfactorily be recorded. All the known compounds were characterized by matching with the <sup>1</sup>H NMR data reported in the literature.

N,N-Diethyl-3-methoxy-5,6,7,8-tetrahydronaphthalene-2 carboxamide (13).<sup>9</sup> To a stirred solution of 15 (2.4 g, 0.011 mol) in dry benzene (80 mL) were added oxalyl chloride (5.08 mL, 0.058 mol) and a catalyti[c](#page-12-0) amount of DMF (0.24 mL), and the reaction mixture was heated at 65 °C under a  $N_2$  atmosphere for 2 h. Benzene was removed under reduced pressure and dried, and then the crude residue was dissolved in dry THF (80 mL). To the resultant mixture Et<sub>2</sub>NH (3.65 mL, 0.035 mol) was added dropwise at 0 °C in a N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere and stirred for 15 min at the same temperature. Solvent

was evaporated under reduced pressure, and the residue was diluted with water (30 mL) and dichlomethane (100 mL). The layers were separated, and the aqueous part was extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (3 × 100 mL). The combined layers were worked up in the usual manner. The crude residue was purified by column chromatography (50% ethyl acetate−petroleum ether) on silica gel to afford compound 13 (2.97 g, 98%) as a yellowish solid.  $R_f = 0.3$  (30% ethyl acetate-petroleum ether); mp 58−60 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 6.84 (s, 1H), 6.54 (s, 1H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 3.52 (q, 2H,  $J = 7.2$  Hz), 3.15 (q, 2H,  $J =$ 7.2 Hz), 2.73−2.63 (m, 4H), 1.76−1.70 (m, 4H), 1.20 (t, 3H, J = 7.1 Hz), 1.01 (t, 3H, J = 7.1 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  169.3, 152.9, 138.8, 129.3, 127.9 (CH), 124.4, 111.3 (CH), 55.6 (OCH3), 42.9 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 38.8 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 29.8 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 28.4 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 23.3 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 23.0  $(CH<sub>2</sub>)$ , 13.9, 12.9

N,N-Diethyl-1-formyl-3-methoxy-5,6,7,8-tetrahydronaph**thalene-2-carboxamide (16).** To a stirred solution of 13 (2 g, 0.007 mol) in dry THF (80 mL) was added TMEDA (6.9 mL, 0.046 mol) at rt, and then the reaction mixture was cooled to −78 °C. To the reaction mixture, t-BuLi (1.7 M) (27 mL, 0.046 mol) was added dropwise in an inert atmosphere with the same temperature maintained for 45 min. To the cooled solution DMF (4.7 mL, 0.061 mol) was added dropwise and allowed to stir at rt for 12 h. The reaction mixture was quenched with a few drops of water, THF was evaporated, and the crude residue was diluted with water (60 mL) and diethyl ether (150 mL). The layers were separated, and the aqueous part was extracted with diethyl ether  $(3 \times 150 \text{ mL})$ . The combined extracts were subjected to the usual workup. The crude residue was purified by column chromatography (30% ethyl acetate−petroleum ether) on silica gel to afford compound 16 (1.3 g, 59%) as a yellowish solid.  $R_f = 0.2$  (50% ethyl acetate−petroleum ether); mp 105−107 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  10.23 (s, 1H), 6.83 (s, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.71−3.45 (m, 2H), 3.18−3.05 (m, 4H), 2.89−2.71 (m, 2H), 1.83−1.75 (m, 4H), 1.27 (t, 3H, J = 7.1 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 192.3, 167.5, 153.1, 140.3, 131.9, 131.3, 128.1, 117.2, 56.1, 43.0, 39.1, 30.7, 26.4, 23.1, 22.3, 13.7, 12.6; HRMS (ES+)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{17}H_{23}NO_3$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 290.1756, found 290.1741.

1-Hydroxy-4-methoxy-6,7,8,9-tetrahydro-1H-naphtho[1,2 **c**]furan-3-one (17). To a stirred suspension of compound 16 (1.32 g, 0.004 mol) in glacial AcOH (20 mL) was added 10% aq HCl (22 mL), and the resulting mixture was allowed to reflux for 10 h. Afterward, the reaction mixture was diluted with water (30 mL) and extracted with ethyl acetate  $(3 \times 80 \text{ mL})$ . The combined extracts were then subjected to the usual workup. On evaporation of organic solvent followed by a hexane wash, 17 was obtained as a white solid (860 mg, 85%).  $R_f = 0.2$ (80% ethyl acetate−petroleum ether); mp 192−194 °C; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$   $\delta$  6.70 (s, 1H), 6.45 (s, 1H), 3.93 (s, 3H), 2.96−2.85 (m, 3H), 2.70−2.63 (m, 1H), 1.90−1.76 (m, 4H); 13C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 167.5, 155.5, 147.6, 146.8, 125.5, 113.4 (Ar−CH), 111.6, 96.0 (CH−OH), 55.9 (OCH3), 30.5 (CH2), 24.1 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 22.5 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 22.4 (CH<sub>2</sub>); IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  1768, 1749, 1698, 1621, 1498, 1302, 1072, 1053, 949, 775. HRMS (ES+) m/z calcd for  $C_{13}H_{14}O_4$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 235.0970, found 235.0956.

4-Methoxy-1-phenylsulfanyl-6,7,8,9-tetrahydro-1H- naphtho[1,2-c]furan-3-one (18). To a stirred solution of <sup>17</sup> (600 mg, 2.56 mmol) in dry benzene (50 mL), taken in a round-bottom flask fitted with a Dean−Stark apparatus, was added p-TSA (cat.) followed by PhSH (0.21 mL, 2.1 mmol), and the mixture was allowed to reflux for 10 h. Benzene was removed under reduced pressure. The crude residue was then diluted with water (30 mL) and extracted with ethyl acetate  $(3 \times 70 \text{ mL})$ . The combined extract was then subjected to the usual workup. Purification by column chromatography (30% ethyl acetate−petroleum ether) yielded a white solid 18 (560 mg, 70%).  $R_f = 0.3$  (50% ethyl acetate−petroleum ether); mp 161–163  $^{\circ}$ C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.54–7.48 (m, 2H), 7.31–7.26 (m, 3H), 6.62 (s, 1H), 6.47 (s, 1H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 3.29−3.15 (m, 1H), 2.90−2.79 (m, 2H), 2.67−2.55 (m, 1H), 1.99−1.77 (m, 4H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  167.5, 155.8, 147.2, 146.5, 133.6 (Ar-CH), 130.9, 129.0 (CH), 128.8 (CH), 124.4, 112.7 (CH), 111.5, 85.0 (CH–SPh), 55.9 (OCH<sub>3</sub>), 30.6 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 25.1 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 22.5 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 22.4

 $(CH_2)$ ; HRMS (EI+)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{19}H_{18}O_3S$  [M<sup>+</sup>]: 326.0977, found 326.0973.

4-Methoxy-1-phenylsulfanyl-7,8-dihydro-1H,6H-naphtho- [1,2-c]furan-3,9-dione (10). To a stirred solution of 18 (100 mg, 0.307 mmol) in 1:1 CH<sub>3</sub>CN-H<sub>2</sub>O (15 mL) was added K<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub> (248 mg, 0.92 mmol) and  $CuSO<sub>4</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O$  (77 mg, 0.307 mmol) at rt, and the mixture was allowed to reflux for 20 min. Solvents were then evaporated under reduced pressure, and the crude residue was diluted with water (10 mL) and extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 40$  mL). The combined ethyl acetate part was then subjected to the usual workup. The crude solid on chromatographic purification (80% ethyl acetate− petroleum ether) yielded 10 as a white solid (78%).  $R_f = 0.2$  (50%) ethyl acetate–petroleum ether); mp 129–130 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.46 (dd, 2H, J = 1.8 Hz, 7.6 Hz), 7.27 − 7.22 (m, 3H), 7.04 (s, 1H), 6.72 (s, 1H), 3.95 (s, 3H), 3.07−2.98 (m, 2H), 2.84−2.52 (m, 2H), 2.24−2.10 (m, 2H); 13C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl3): δ 195.4, 166.1, 160.5, 154.9, 151.7, 135.0 (CH), 133.6, 130.3, 129.1 (CH), 128.9 (CH), 120.8, 111.6 (CH), 86.9 (CH), 56.5 (OCH<sub>3</sub>), 39.3 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 31.0 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 22.7 (CH<sub>2</sub>); HRMS (EI+)  $m/z$ calcd for  $C_{19}H_{16}O_4S$  [M<sup>+</sup>]: 340.0769, found 340.0772.

4-Methoxy-1-(3-oxo-cyclohexyl)-1-phenylsulfanyl-6,7,8,9 tetrahydro-1H-naphtho[1,2-c]furan-3-one (19a). To a stirred suspension of t-BuOLi (52 mg, 0.644 mmol) in dry THF (5 mL) was added a solution of phthalide 18 (70 mg, 0.214 mmol) in THF (3 mL) at −78 °C under an inert atmosphere. The resulting light yellow colored solution was stirred at −78 °C for 30 min. Then a solution of acceptor 2-cycloxhexenone (0.03 mL, 0.322 mmol) in THF (2 mL) was added dropwise into it. The same temperature was maintained for another 1 h. The cooling bath was removed, and the reaction mixture was allowed to stir at rt overnight. It was then quenched with a saturated aq. NH<sub>4</sub>Cl solution  $(2 \nvert nL)$ . The resulting solution was concentrated under reduced pressure, and the residue was diluted with water (4 mL) and extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 15$  mL). The combined extracts were then subjected to the usual workup. Column chromatography of the crude product using 50% ethyl acetate− petroleum ether as the eluant gave 19a as a yellowish solid (60 mg, 67%). mp 124−126 °C; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl3): δ (7:3 mixture of diastereomers) 7.23−7.00 (m), 6.44 (s), 6.42 (s), 3.74 (s), 3.72 (s), 3.65−3.43 (m), 3.13−3.01 (m), 2.95−2.72 (m), 2.65−2.17 (m), 2.16− 1.90 (m), 1.89–1.67 (m); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  210.2, 210.0, 166.7, 166.5, 155.4, 148.6, 148.0, 147.4, 147.3, 136.7 (Ar−CH), 136.6 (Ar−CH), 129.7 (Ar−CH), 128.5 (Ar−CH), 128.4 (Ar−CH), 128.0, 127.8, 123.7, 123.6, 112.4 (Ar−CH), 112.3 (Ar−CH), 112.0, 111.8, 98.0, 97.9, 55.8 (OCH<sub>3</sub>), 44.1, 44.0, 43.2 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 42.6 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 41.1 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 41.0 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 30.9 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 30.8 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 26.5 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 25.9  $(CH<sub>2</sub>)$ , 24.8 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 24.7 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 24.5 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 22.7 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 22.6 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 22.4 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 22.3 (CH<sub>2</sub>); IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  1773, 1705, 1654, 1617, 1492, 1300, 1042, 752, 693; HRMS (EI+)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_2,H_{26}O_4S$ [M<sup>+</sup>]: 422.1552, found 422.1554.

Methyl-3-(4-methoxy-3-oxo-1-phenylsulfanyl-1,3,6,7,8,9 hexahydronaphtho[1,2-c]furan-1-yl)-propionate (21). Compound 21 was synthesized from 18 (40 mg, 0.123 mmol) and methacrylate (0.014 mL, 0.147 mmol), following the protocol described for the transformation 18→19a. Purification was done by PLC using 50% ethyl acetate−petroleum ether to afford 21 as a semisolid.  $R_f = 0.3$  (50% ethyl acetate–petroleum ether); Yield = 41 mg (82%); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.26−7.05 (m, 5H), 6.48 (s, 1H), 3.75 (s, 3H), 3.56 (s, 3H), 3.56−3.36 (m, 1H), 2.85−2.75 (m, 2H), 2.70−2.52 (m, 2H), 2.44−2.28 (m, 1H), 2.17−1.15 (m, 6H); 13C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 172.6, 166.3, 155.4, 148.2, 147.4, 136.5 (CH), 129.7 (CH), 128.6 (CH), 128.2, 124.1, 112.7 (CH), 112.2, 94.7, 55.8 (OCH<sub>3</sub>), 51.8 (OCH<sub>3</sub>), 31.8 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 30.9 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 28.9 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 24.6 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 22.7 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 22.4 (CH<sub>2</sub>); HRMS (EI+)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{23}H_{24}O_5S$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 413.1431, found 413.1420.

1-Benzenesulfonyl-4-methoxy-6,7,8,9-tetrahydro-1Hnaphtho[1,2-c]furan-3-one (23). To a stirred solution of 18 (420 mg, 1.29 mmol) in dry  $CH_2Cl_2$  (30 mL) was added m-CPBA (1.00 g, 5.79 mmol) at rt, and the reaction mixture was allowed to stir at the same temperature for 4 h.  $CH_2Cl_2$  was evaporated, and the crude residue was diluted with water (30 mL) and extracted with ethyl acetate  $(3 \times 60 \text{ mL})$ . The combined ethyl acetate part was then washed with an aqueous saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution  $(2 \times 10 \text{ mL})$ , followed by the usual workup which gave a solid compound, which upon chromatographic purification (50% ethyl acetate−petroleum ether) yielded compound 23 (420 mg, 91%) as a white solid.  $R_f = 0.2$ (30% ethyl acetate−petroleum ether); mp 199−202 °C; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.90 (d, 2H, J = 7.2 Hz), 7.68 (t, 1H, J = 7.6 Hz), 7.60−7.52 (m, 2H), 6.76 (s, 1H), 6.08 (s, 1H), 3.91 (s, 3H), 3.38−3.28 (m, 1H), 3.00−2.80 (m, 2H), 2.80−2.72 (m, 1H), 2.05− 1.74 (m, 4H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 165.8, 156.1, 148.4, 139.8, 134.7 (Ar-CH), 133.7, 129.7 (Ar−CH), 129.1 (Ar−CH), 128.2, 114.3 (Ar−CH), 111.5, 90.2 (CH<sub>2</sub>−SO<sub>2</sub>Ph), 56.0 (OCH<sub>3</sub>), 30.6 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 26.2 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 22.4 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 22.2 (CH<sub>2</sub>); IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$ 1763, 1617, 1493, 1322, 1304, 1232, 1153, 1008, 726, 592, 541; HRMS (ES+)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{19}H_{18}O_5S$  [M+H]<sup>+</sup>: 359.0953, found 359.0931.

4-Methoxy-1-phenylsulfanyl-1H-naphtho[1,2-c]furan-3-one (24). To a stirred solution of 18 (200 mg, 0.613 mmol) in dry benzene (10 mL) was added DDQ (840 mg, 3.68 mmol) and refluxed for 7 d (168 h). Benzene was removed under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was charged into a silica gel column. Compound 24 (100 mg, 55%) was eluted with 30% ethyl acetate−petroleum ether as a white solid.  $R_f = 0.4$  (30% ethyl acetate–petroleum ether); mp 146−148 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.35 (d, 1H, J = 8 Hz), 7.85 (d, 1H, J  $= 8.2$  Hz),  $7.71 - 7.52$  (m, 2H),  $7.43$  (dd, 2H,  $J = 1.6$  Hz,  $J = 8$  Hz), 7.32−7.15 (m, 3H), 7.14 (s, 1H), 6.91 (s, 1H), 4.01 (s, 3H); 13C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl3): δ 167.2, 153.8, 147.8, 138.3, 134.1 (CH), 129.8 (CH), 129.7, 129.2 (CH), 129.0 (CH), 127.8 (CH), 125.1 (CH), 124.9 (CH), 122.1, 115.5, 108.1 (CH), 84.5 (CH), 56.0 (OCH<sub>3</sub>); IR (KBr, cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> ) νmax 1751, 1634, 1470, 1310, 1051, 961, 750; HRMS (EI +)  $m/z$  calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S [M<sup>+</sup>]: 322.0664, found 322.0666.

4-Methoxy-3-oxo-1,3,6,7,8,9-hexahydronaphtho[1,2-c] furan-1-carbonitrile (25). To a stirred solution of 16 (600 mg, 2.07 mmol) in dry  $CH_2Cl_2$  (mL) was added TMSCN (0.28 mL, 2.28 mmol) at 0 °C, followed by KCN (27 mg, 0.415 mmol) and 18-crown-6 (110 mg, 0.415 mmol) at the same temperature in a  $N_2$  atmosphere. The resulting reaction mixture was allowed to stir at 0 °C for 1.5 h and at rt for 3 h. Thereafter, the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure, and the residue was dissolved in AcOH (20 mL) and stirred at rt for 15 h. Then an aqueous NaOH solution (40 mL, 1 M) was added dropwise until turbidity appeared and then extracted with ethyl acetate  $(3 \times 120 \text{ mL})$ . The combined ethyl acetate part was then subjected to the usual workup to give a solid compound, which on chromatographic purification (50% ethyl acetate−petroleum ether) yielded compound 25 (480 mg, 95%) as a white solid.  $R_f = 0.3$  (60%) ethyl acetate−petroleum ether); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  6.77 (s, 1H), 5.83 (s, 1H), 3.95 (s, 3H), 2.95−2.78 (m, 3H), 2.62−2.47 (m, 1H), 1.95−1.75 (m, 4H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  165.9, 156.5, 148.7, 142.1, 124.0, 113.8 (Ar−CH), 113.1, 109.4, 64.1 (CH− CN), 56.1 (OCH<sub>3</sub>), 30.5 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 24.2 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 22.2 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 22.1 (CH<sub>2</sub>); HRMS (ES+)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{14}H_{13}NO_3$  [M+H]<sup>+</sup>: 244.0974, found 244.0970.

4-Methoxy-1-(3-oxo-cyclohexyl)-1-phenylsulfanyl-1Hnaphtho[1,2-c]furan-3-one (19d). Compound 19d was synthesized from 24 (40 mg, 0.124 mmol) and 2-cyclohexenone, following the protocol described for the transformation 18→19a. Purification was done by PLC using 30% ethyl acetate–petroleum ether.  $R_f = 0.2$  (30% ethyl acetate−petroleum ether); Yield = 42 mg (80%, white solid); mp 168−170 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ (6:4 mixture of diastereomers) 8.43−8.32 (m), 7.80−7.69 (m), 7.67−7.47 (m), 7.09− 6.98 (m), 6.96 (s), 6.93 (s), 6.90−6.82 (m), 3.85 (s), 3.83 (s), 3.25− 2.65 (m), 2.40–2.10 (m), 1.93–1.29 (m); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl3): δ 209.9, 209.4, 166.3, 153.3, 150.0, 149.4, 138.4, 136.3, 136.2, 129.8, 128.5, 128.2, 127.4, 127.2, 125.3, 124.8, 124.7, 121.5, 115.7, 108.0, 107.8, 97.5, 55.9, 45.9, 43.3, 42.2, 41.0, 26.6, 25.7, 24.6, 24.2; IR (KBr, cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> ) νmax 1774, 1705, 1630, 1465, 1401, 1302, 1262, 1170, 1102, 1037, 752, 693; HRMS (ES+)  $m/z$  calcd for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>4</sub>S [M + H]+ : 419.1317, found 419.1298.

Methyl 2-Methoxy-6-methyl-4-trifluoromethanesulfonyloxybenzoate (33). It was obtained from 32 as a low melting solid. Experimental procedure is similar to that described for the transformation 55→56.  $R_f = 0.5$  (15% ethyl acetate–petroleum ether); mp 49–50 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  6.73 (s, 1H), 6.66 (d, 1H, J = 1.6 Hz), 3.92 (s, 3H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 2.32 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl3, 100 MHz): δ 167.3, 157.7, 150.3, 138.8, 123.8, 118.67  $(q, J = 319 \text{ Hz})$ , 114.7(CH), 102.4(CH), 56.2, 52.4, 19.3; HRMS (EI +)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{11}H_{11}F_3O_6S$  [M]<sup>+</sup>: 328.0228, found 328.0225.

Dimethyl 2-Methoxy-6-methyl-terephthalate (34). It was obtained from 33 as a yellowish solid. The experimental procedure is similar to that described for the transformation  $56 \rightarrow 57$ .  $R_f = 0.2$ (15% ethyl acetate−petroleum ether); mp 84−86 °C; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.50 (d, 1H, J = 0.6 Hz), 7.41 (s, 1H), 3.93 (s, 3H),  $3.92$  (s, 3H),  $3.87$  (s, 3H),  $2.31$  (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 50 MHz): δ 168.1, 166.5, 156.3, 136.6, 131.7, 127.8, 123.7 (Ar−CH), 109.2 (Ar− CH), 56.0, 52.3, 52.4, 19.1; HRMS (EI+)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{12}H_{14}O_5$ [M]<sup>+</sup>: 238.0841, found 238.0840.

Dimethyl 2-Bromo-5-methoxy-3-methyl-terephthalate (35). To a stirred solution of 34 (200 mg, 0.84 mmol) in AcOH (4 mL) was added Br<sub>2</sub> (0.04 mL, 0.84 mmol) dropwise at 0  $^{\circ}$ C, and the mixture was allowed to stir at rt overnight. Then it was diluted with water (15 mL) and extracted with ethyl acetate  $(3 \times 30 \text{ mL})$ . The combined extract was then submitted to the usual workup. Column purification of the crude material resulted in compound 35 as a yellowish solid (185 mg, 70%). mp 71−74 °C; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl3): δ 7.02 (s, 1H), 3.91 (s, 6H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 2.34 (s, 3H); 13C NMR (CDCl3, 50 MHz): δ 167.4, 167.3, 155.0, 137.5, 135.6, 127.7, 114.6, 110.6, 56.4, 52.8, 52.7, 21.0; HRMS (ES+)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{12}H_{13}O_5Br$   $[M + H]^+$ : 317.0025, found 317.0039.

Methyl 7-Methoxy-1-oxo-1,3-dihydroisobenzofuran-5-carboxylate (36). It was obtained from 34 as a fluffy white solid. The experimental procedure is similar to that described for the transformation 50→51.  $R_f = 0.1$  (30% ethyl acetate–petroleum ether); mp 186−188 °C; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl3): δ 7.73 (d, 1H, J = 0.8 Hz), 7.64 (s, 1H), 5.32 (s, 2H), 4.10 (s, 3H), 4.02 (s, 3H); 13C NMR  $(CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): \delta$  168.1, 165.7, 158.4, 149.3, 137.4, 123.4, 116.7, 114.8 (Ar−CH), 111.7 (Ar−CH), 68.7 (CH2), 56.3, 52.8; IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>) v<sub>max</sub> 1758, 1718, 1612, 1440, 1336, 1244, 1106, 1051, 769; HRMS (EI+)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{11}H_{10}O_5$  [M]<sup>+</sup>: 222.0528, found 222.0529.

Methyl 3-Bromo-7-methoxy-1-oxo-1,3-dihydroisobenzofuran-5-carboxylate (37). It was obtained from 36 as a white solid. The experimental procedure is similar to that described for the transformation 57→59.  $R_f = 0.5$  (30% ethyl acetate–petroleum ether); mp 185−190 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.79 (s, 1H), 7.66 (s, 1H), 7.34 (s, 1H), 4.07 (s, 3H), 3.98 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ 165.1, 164.3, 158.4, 151.4, 138.7, 116.2 (Ar−CH), 114.7 (tert-C), 113.7 (Ar−CH), 73.6 (CH−Br), 56.7, 53.0

Methyl 3-Hydroxy-7-methoxy-1-oxo-1,3-dihydroisobenzofuran-5-carboxylate (38). It was obtained from 37 as a white solid. The experimental procedure is similar to that described for the transformation 59→60.  $R_f$  = 0.2 (80% ethyl acetate−petroleum ether); mp 199−200 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, d<sub>6</sub>-DMSO): δ 8.17 (s, 1H, broad), 7.63 (s, 1H), 7.61 (s, 1H), 6.58 (s, 1H, broad), 3.97 (s, 3H), 3.90 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR ( $d_6$ -DMSO, 100 MHz):  $\delta$  171.0, 165.6, 157.7, 150.8, 137.5, 117.5, 116.0 (Ar−CH), 113.5 (Ar−CH), 97.0 (CH− OH), 56.6, 53.3; HRMS (TOF-ES+)  $m/z$  calcd for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>10</sub>O<sub>6</sub> [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> : 261.0375, found 261.0381.

Methyl 3-Cyano-7-methoxy-1-oxo-1,3-dihydroisobenzofuran-5-carboxylate (27). It was obtained from 38 as a white solid. The experimental procedure is similar to that described for the transformation 60→47. But, addition of CHCl<sub>3</sub> and p-TSA (cat.) was not required here. Compound 27 was obtained directly from 38 without isolation of the cyanohydrin intermediate, when treated with KCN in an acidic medium;  $R_f = 0.3$  (30% ethyl acetate−petroleum ether); mp 194−196 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.86 (s, 1H), 7.75 (s, 1H), 6.04 (s, 1H), 4.09 (s, 3H), 4.00 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ 164.8, 164.4, 158.8, 144.2, 139.0, 115.1 (Ar−CH), 114.9, 114.3 (Ar−CH), 113.4, 64.8 (CH−CN), 56.7, 53.1; IR (KBr, cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> )  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  1758, 1718, 1612, 1440, 1336, 1244, 1106, 1051, 7691803, 1718,

#### The Journal of Organic Chemistry **Article Article Article Article Article Article Article Article Article**

1610, 1488, 1344, 1259, 1020, 765; HRMS (ES+) m/z calcd for  $C_{12}H_9NO_5$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 248.0559, found 248.0564.

Methyl 6-Hydroxy-8-methoxy-3-methyl-7,12-dioxo-1,2,3,4,7,12-hexahydrobenz[a]anthracene-10-carboxylate (40). This was obtained from 27 as a red solid. The experimental procedure is similar to that described for the transformation 47→63. mp 216−218 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 13.1 (s, 1H, OH), 8.48 (d, 1H,  $J = 1.2$  Hz), 7.92 (d, 1H,  $J = 0.8$  Hz), 7.03 (s, 1H), 4.12 (s, 3H), 4.00 (s, 3H), 3.45−3.37 (m, 1H), 3.17−3.06 (m, 1H), 2.90 (dd, 1H, J = 3.2 Hz, 17.2 Hz), 2.48 (dd, 1H, J = 10.8, 17.2 Hz), 2.02− 1.95 (m, 1H), 1.89−1.84 (m, 1H), 1.39−1.24 (m, 1H), 1.07 (d, 3H, J  $= 6.8$  Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta$  188.4, 184.1, 165.4, 160.6, 160.1, 149.1, 137.5, 135.9, 134.0, 129.5, 124.7, 122.9, 120.7, 117.2, 116.4, 56.9, 52.8, 39.8, 31.4, 28.8, 27.8, 21.5; HRMS (TOF-ES+) m/z calcd for  $C_{22}H_{20}O_6$  [M<sup>+</sup>]: 380.1260, found 380.1267.

Methyl 6-Hydroxy-8-methoxy-3-methyl-1,7,12-trioxo-1,2,3,4,7,12-hexahydrobenz[a]anthracene-10-carboxylate (41). This was obtained from 40 as a red solid. The experimental procedure is similar to that described for the transformation 63→65.  $R_f = 0.2$  (40% ethyl acetate−petroleum ether); mp 218–220 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  12.86 (s, 1H, OH), 8.37 (s, 1H), 7.95 (s, 1H), 6.99 (s, 1H), 4.11 (s, 3H), 3.99 (s, 3H), 2.95−2.85 (m, 2H), 2.65−2.57 (m, 1H), 2.51−2.30 (m, 2H), 1.17 (d, 3H, J = 5.6 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ 197.6, 187.9, 183.9, 165.1, 163.6, 160.3, 152.7, 138.0, 137.2, 136.8, 128.3, 122.4, 121.2 (Ar−CH), 120.6 (Ar− CH), 117.8 (Ar–CH), 117.7, 57.0, 53.0, 47.5(CH<sub>2</sub>), 38.7(CH<sub>2</sub>), 30.3, 21.3; HRMS (TOF-ES+)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{22}H_{18}O_7$   $[M + H]^+$ : 395.1131, found 395.1128.

Methyl 2-Chloro-6-hydroxy-8-methoxy-3-methyl-1,7,12-trioxo-1,2,3,4,7,12-hexahydrobenz[a]anthracene-10-carboxylate (42, 1:1 Mixture of Diastereomers). To a stirred solution of Nchlorosuccinimide (122 mg, 0.913 mmol) in a mixture of dry methanol and chloroform (3:2, 10 mL) was added a catalytic amount of thiourea at rt. After 5 min, compound 41 (100 mg, 0.254 mmol) was added to the resulting solution and the mixture was allowed to stir at rt overnight under a nitrogen atmosphere. After completion of the reaction the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography to provide the chlorotetracycle 42 as a yellow solid (70 mg, 65%).  $^1{\rm H}$  NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  12.89 (s, 1H), 12.87 (s, 1H), 8.39 (s, 2H), 7.96 (s, 2H), 6.99 (s, 2H), 4.54 (d, 1H,  $J = 2.4$  Hz), 4.48 (d, 1H,  $J = 8.4$  Hz), 4.12 (s, 6H), 3.99 (s, 6H), 3.29 (dd, 2H, J = 17.1 Hz, 4.5 Hz), 3.04− 2.55 (m, 4H), 1.26 (t, 6H,  $J = 7$  Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta$ 190.6, 187.8, 183.0, 165.1, 164.0, 163.9, 160.4, 151.0, 150.5, 138.1, 137.6, 137.0, 125.8, 122.3, 121.2 (Ar−CH), 120.7 (Ar−CH), 118.0, 117.9 (Ar−CH), 66.3 (CH−Cl), 66.2 (CH−Cl), 57.0 (OCH3), 53.0  $(OCH<sub>3</sub>)$ , 38.6, 35.8, 35.7  $(CH<sub>2</sub>)$ , 33.7  $(CH<sub>2</sub>)$ , 19.3, 17.3; HRMS (TOF-ES+)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{22}H_{17}ClO_7$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 429.0742, found 429.0734.

Methyl 6,8-Dimethoxy-3-methyl-1,7,12-trioxo-1,2,3,4,7,12 hexahydrobenz[a]anthracene-10-carboxylate (43). It was obtained from 41 as an orange solid. The experimental procedure is similar to that described for the transformation  $65\rightarrow 66$ .  $R_f = 0.2$  (50%) ethyl acetate−petroleum ether); mp 234−236 °C (charring); <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.27 (d, 1H, J = 1.2 Hz), 7.88 (s, 1H), 6.93 (s, 1H), 4.04 (s, 3H), 4.02 (s, 3H), 3.98 (s, 3H), 2.93 (m, 2H), 2.66 (m, 1H), 2.48 (m, 2H), 1.18 (d, 3H,  $J = 6$  Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl3, 100 MHz): δ 197.3, 185.6, 181.2, 165.5, 160.9, 158.7, 151.0, 139.3, 137.1, 135.1, 127.2, 126.2, 123.9, 119.3, 117.3, 114.6, 56.7, 52.7, 47.4, 38.9, 30.5, 21.3 (one C missing). HRMS (TOF-ES+) m/z calcd for  $C_{23}H_{20}O_7$  [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>: 431.1107, found 431.1106.

Methyl 2,2-Dichloro-6,8-dimethoxy-3-methyl-1,7,12-trioxo-1,2,3,4,7,12-hexahydrobenz[a]anthracene-10-carboxylate (44). It was obtained from 43 as a deep red solid. The experimental procedure is similar to that described for the transformation  $66 \rightarrow 67$ ;  $R_f$  = 0.2 (50% ethyl acetate−petroleum ether); mp 150−152 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.31 (d, 1H, J = 0.8 Hz), 7.90 (s, 1H), 6.89 (s, 1H), 4.05 (s, 3H), 4.03 (s, 3H), 3.98 (s, 3H), 3.09 (dd, 2H, J = 5.6 Hz, J = 8.4 Hz), 2.86–2.75 (m, 1H), 1.46 (d, 3H, J = 6.4 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ 184.3, 183.9, 180.6, 165.4, 161.6, 158.8, 148.0, 140.9, 136.7, 135.3, 125.9, 124.7, 122.9, 119.4 (Ar−CH), 117.5

(Ar−CH), 114.0 (Ar−CH), 92.1, 56.8, 56.7, 52.8, 45.2, 36.3 (CH2), 16.1; HRMS (TOF-ES+)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{23}H_{18}Cl_2O_7$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 477.0508, found 477.0515 or,  $[M + Na]$ <sup>+</sup>: 499.0328, found 499.0326.

2-Chloro-1-hydroxy-6,8-dimethoxy-3-methyl-7,12-dioxo-7,12-dihydrobenz[a]anthracene-10-carboxylic acid (45). It was obtained from 44 as a black solid. The experimental procedure is similar to that described for the transformation  $67\rightarrow 68$ ;  $R_f = 0.2$  (90% ethyl acetate−petroleum ether); mp >242 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  11.69 (s, 1H), 8.45 (s, 1H), 7.97 (s, 1H), 7.49 (s, 1H), 7.22  $(s, 1H)$ , 4.10  $(s, 3H)$ , 4.05  $(s, 3H)$ , 2.54  $(s, 3H)$ ; HRMS (ES+)  $m/z$ calcd for  $C_{22}H_{15}O_7Cl$   $[M + H]^+$ : 427.0585, found 427.0578. <sup>13</sup>C NMR could not be recorded due to decomposition under ambient conditions.

Methyl 2-Chloro-1,6,8-trimethoxy-3-methyl-7,12-dioxo-7,12-dihydrobenz[a]anthracene-10-carboxylate (46).  $R_f = 0.3$ (60% ethyl acetate−petroleum ether); state: red solid; mp 245−248  $^{\circ}$ C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.23 (s, 1H), 7.88 (s, 1H), 7.41  $(s, 1H)$ , 7.31  $(s, 1H)$ , 4.06  $(s, 3H)$ , 4.05  $(s, 3H)$ , 4.00  $(s, 3H)$ , 3.86  $(s, 3H)$ 3H), 2.54 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ 186.4, 181.2, 165.5, 158.5, 155.5, 153.5, 140.0, 137.9, 137.0, 136.5, 135.2, 126.5, 126.3, 126.0, 123.2, 118.0, 117.9, 117.0, 111.7, 61.4, 56.7, 56.5, 52.8, 21.2; HRMS (ES+)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{24}H_{19}ClO_7$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 455.0897, found 455.0899.

2-Chloro-1,6,8-trimethoxy-3-methyl-7,12-dioxo-7,12 dihydrobenz[a]anthracene-10-carboxylic Acid (29). To a stirred solution of 46 (40 mg, 0.088 mmol) in THF (4 mL) was added a solution of LiOH (40 mg, 1.67 mmol, 19 equiv) in a 5:1 mixture of THF−H2O (2.4 mL) dropwise, and the mixture was allowed to stir at rt for 4 h. Solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure, and the residue was acidified with 6 N HCl and diluted with ethyl acetate (15 mL). The usual workup of the mixture using ethyl acetate and  $H_2O$ , followed by evaporation of organic solvent, gave compound 29 (34 mg, 89%) as a red solid.  $R_f = 0.2$  (ethyl acetate); mp 239 °C (charring); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.29 (s, 1H), 7.92 (s, 1H), 7.42 (s, 1H), 7.32 (s, 1H), 4.08 (s, 3H), 4.06 (s, 3H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 2.55 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta$  186.3, 181.1, 168.5, 158.5, 155.4, 153.3, 140.0, 137.9, 136.8, 136.6, 134.1, 126.8, 126.5, 126.0, 123.2, 118.6, 117.9, 117.2, 111.7, 61.3, 56.7, 56.5, 21.2; HRMS (TOF-ES+)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{23}H_{17}ClO_7$  [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>: 463.0561, found 463.0558.

Methyl 3-Acetyl-2-hydroxy-4-methoxy-6-methylbenzoate (49). To a stirred solution of 48 (28 g, 0.142 mol) in dry  $CH_2Cl_2$ (1 lit) was added TiCl<sub>4</sub> (62.6 mL, 0.57 mol) dropwise at 0 °C in a N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. Afterward, CH<sub>3</sub>COCl (24.5 mL, 0.36 mol) was added dropwise at the same temperature. The solution was stirred for 12 h at rt. After addition of water (300 mL) and 10% aq HCl (200 mL) the mixture was extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (3 × 800 mL) and worked up in the usual manner. The crude product was purified by column chromatography to obtain acetyl compound 49 (31 g, 91%) as a yellow solid. mp 47−49 °C;  $R_f = 0.4$  (15% ethyl acetate–petroleum ether); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  13.66 (s, 1H, OH), 6.24 (s, 1H), 3.91 (s, 3H), 3.90 (s, 3H), 2.62 (s, 3H), 2.36 (s, 3H); 13C NMR (CDCl3, 50 MHz): δ 204.2, 168.4, 162.5, 161.8, 145.9, 115.0, 110.1, 103.7, 55.9, 52.3, 33.4, 21.5; IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  3450, 1727, 1610, 1452, 1292, 1189. HRMS (ES+): calcd for  $C_{12}H_{14}O_5$   $[M + Na]$ <sup>+</sup> 261.0739, found 261.0741.

Methyl 2-Acetoxy-3-acetyl-4-methoxy-6-methylbenzoate (50). To an oven-dried round-bottomed flask fitted with a magnetic stirring bar were added compound 49 (54 g, 0.227 mol) and  $CH_2Cl_2$ (300 mL) followed by Et<sub>3</sub>N (62 mL, 0.45 mol) dropwise at 0 °C. The resulting reaction mixture was then allowed to stir for 10 min at the same temperature. Afterward, CH<sub>3</sub>COCl (24.3 mL, 0.34 mol) was added dropwise at 0 °C and allowed to stir for 5 h at rt. The reaction mixture was then diluted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (400 mL), and water (100 mL) was added. The layers were separated, and the aqueous part was extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (3 × 200 mL). The combined extracts were washed with brine, dried over  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ , filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel to afford compound 50 (61 g, 96%) as a white crystalline solid. mp 116−120 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 200

MHz): δ 6.67 (s, 1H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 2.47 (s, 3H), 2.43 (s, 3H), 2.21 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 50 MHz): δ 199.6, 168.9, 166.1, 158.2, 146.3, 141.9, 122.4, 119.3, 111.0, 56.0, 52.1, 31.6, 21.1, 20.6; IR  $(KBr, cm^{-1})$   $\nu_{max}$  1781, 1724, 1700, 1655, 1610, 1563, 1527, 1461, 1438, 1408, 1371, 1319, 1275, 1238, 1206, 1106, 1041, 1017, 968, 857; HRMS (ES+)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{14}H_{16}O_6$  [M–(CH<sub>2</sub>=C=O + OMe)]+ : 207.0657, found 207.0649.

Acetic Acid 5-Acetyl-6-methoxy-3-oxo-1,3-dihydroisoben**zofuran-4-yl ester (51).** To a stirred solution of 50 (5  $g$ , 0.017 mol) in dry  $\text{CCl}_4$  (70 mL) in an oven-dried round-bottomed flask fitted with a reflux condenser was added N-bromosuccinimide (3.87 g, 0.023 mol) and benzoyl peroxide (30 mg). Under the exposure of a 100 W lamp, the reaction mixture was then allowed to reflux at 80 °C for 2.5 h, cooled to rt, and filtered. The residue was washed with CCl<sub>4</sub>, and the resulting filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting gummy material, without further purification, was heated at 150−160 °C in a round-bottomed flask for 40 min. The resulting black solid was purified by column chromatography on silica gel to afford compound 51 (2.5 g, 63%, brsm) as a white crystalline solid along with deacetylated compound 52 (800 mg, 24%, brsm).  $R_f = 0.4$  (50% ethyl acetate−petroleum ether); mp 138−140 °C; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ 6.84 (s, 1H), 5.23 (s, 2H), 3.95 (s, 3H), 2.45 (s, 3H), 2.36  $(s, 3H)$ ; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): 198.8, 168.7, 167.6, 162.8, 151.2, 146.1, 126.3, 111.3, 102.1, 69.0, 56.9, 32.0, 20.6; IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>) νmax 1779, 1754, 1705, 1620, 1468, 1444, 1362, 1338, 1304, 1255, 1186, 1165, 1091, 1008, 876, 747; HRMS (ES+) m/z calcd for  $C_{13}H_{12}O_6$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 265.0712, found 265.0706.

6-Acetyl-7-hydroxy-5-methoxy-3H-isobenzofuran-1-one (52). To a stirred solution of 51 (13 g, 0.049 mol) in dry methanol (200 mL) was added oven-dried  $K_2CO_3$  (14.2 g, 0.098 mol), and the resulting suspension was allowed to stir at rt for 1 h. Then the mixture was decanted off and evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was diluted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (200 mL), and water (70 mL) was added, acidified with 2 N HCl. The layers were separated, and the aqueous part extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3  $\times$  100 mL). The combined extracts were then subjected to the usual workup. The crude residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel to afford compound 52 (9 g, 83%) as white solid.  $R_f = 0.3$  (50% ethyl acetate– petroleum ether); mp 187−191 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 200 MHz):  $\delta$ 14.43 (s, 1H, OH), 6.47 (s, 1H), 5.16 (s, 2H), 4.01 (s, 3H), 2.67 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 50 MHz):  $\delta$  205.2, 168.0, 166.8, 164.1, 156.6, 110.9, 106.1, 95.0, 68.6, 56.6, 33.6; IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  1760, 1633, 1601, 1463, 1423, 1387, 1358, 1266, 1196, 1162, 1101, 1057, 1015, 906, 793, 702, 602; HRMS (EI+)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{11}H_{10}O_5$   $[M + H]$ <sup>+</sup>: 223.0615, found 223.0620.

6-Ethyl-7-hydroxy-5-methoxy-3H-isobenzofuran-1-one  $(53).$ <sup>33</sup> To a stirred solution of 52  $(27.9 \text{ g}, 0.125 \text{ mol})$  in trifluoroacetic acid (100 mL) was added triethylsilane (62 mL, 0.388 mol) [at](#page-12-0) rt and under a  $N_2$  atmosphere. The resulting reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 12 h. After completion (TLC monitoring) of the reaction, trifluoroacetic acid was removed by bubbling nitrogen gas into the round-bottomed flask. The residue was diluted with ethyl acetate (300 mL) and water (100 mL). The layers were separated, and the aqueous part extracted with ethyl acetate  $(3 \times 150 \text{ mL})$ . The combined extracts were then worked up in the usual manner. The crude residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel to afford compound 53 (22.6 g, 87%) as a white crystalline solid.  $R_f = 0.6$ (25% ethyl acetate−petroleum ether); mp 168−169 °C; <sup>1</sup> H NMR  $(CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 200 MHz): \delta 7.70$  (s, 1H), 6.48 (s, 1H), 5.24 (s, 2H), 3.89 (s, 3H), 2.67 (q, 2H, J = 7.4 Hz), 1.10 (t, 3H, J = 7.5 Hz); 13C NMR (CDCl3, 50 MHz): δ 172.9, 164.9, 154.3, 146.0, 119.1, 104.0, 96.2, 70.5, 56.5, 56.2, 15.8, 13.3; IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  1729, 1609, 1625, 1495, 1463, 1348, 1290, 1256, 1143, 1095, 1054, 999, 983, 835, 744, 659.

6-Ethyl-5,7-dihydroxy-3H-isobenzofuran-1-one (54). To a stirred solution of 53 (10.5 g, 0.05 mol) in dry  $CH_2Cl_2$  (120 mL) was added neat  $BBr_3$  (34 mL, 0.353 mol) at 0 °C under a N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. The resulting red color suspension was then allowed to come to rt and stirred overnight. After completion of the reaction,  $CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>$  was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was

diluted with ethyl acetate (200 mL) and water (50 mL). The resultant mixture was then subjected to the usual workup. The crude residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel to afford compound 54 (8.4 g, 86%) as a white solid.  $R_f = 0.2$  (40% ethyl acetate−petroleum ether); Mp 197–198 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 200 MHz):  $\delta$  7.82 (s, 1H, OH), 6.43 (s, 1H), 5.21 (s, 2H), 2.69 (q, 2H, J = 7.5 Hz), 1.16 (t, 3H, J = 7.5); <sup>13</sup>C NMR ( $d_6$ -DMSO, 100 MHz):  $\delta$ 170.3, 162.5, 154.5, 147.0, 117.2, 102.8, 100.4, 68.8, 15.6, 13.5; IR (KBr, cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> ) νmax 1691, 1630, 1449, 1347, 1320, 1260, 1143, 1100, 1052, 1018, 993, 829, 750; HRMS (ES+): calcd for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>10</sub>O<sub>4</sub> [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> 217.0477, found 217.0480.

6-Ethyl-7-hydroxy-1-oxo-1,3-dihydroisobenzofuran-5-yl Tri**fluoromethanesulfonate (55).** To a stirred solution of 54 (2.5 g, 0.013 mol) in dry acetone (140 mL) was added  $Cs_2CO_3$  (4.2 g, 0.013 mol) and PhNTf<sub>2</sub> (4.12 g, 0.011 mol) at 0 °C under a N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere and allowed to stir for 3.5 h at temperatures not higher than 15 °C. After completion of reaction (evaluated by TLC), acetone was removed under reduced pressure and diluted with ethyl acetate (80 mL) and a 5% aq solution of NH4Cl (50 mL) was also added. The layers were separated, and the aqueous part was extracted with ethyl acetate  $(3 \times 80 \text{ mL})$ . The combined extracts were worked up in the usual manner. The crude residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel to afford compound 55 (2.7 g, 66%) as a white crystalline solid along with a small amount of a ditriflate compound.  $R_f = 0.7$  (10% ethyl acetate−petroleum ether); mp 75–76  $^{\circ}$ C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 200 MHz):  $\delta$  8.15 (s, 1H), 6.69 (s, 1H), 5.34  $(s, 2H)$ , 2.79  $(q, 2H, J = 7.5 Hz)$ , 1.21  $(t, 3H, J = 7.5 Hz)$ ; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 50 MHz):  $\delta$  173.1, 157.2, 154.2, 146.0, 126.5, 119.7 (q, J = 300 Hz), 111.6, 108.2, 71.8, 18.45, 14.2; IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  1737, 1629, 1610, 1424, 1407, 1244, 1220, 1137, 1088, 1008, 959, 868, 729; HRMS (ES+)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{11}H_9O_6SF_3$  [M+H]<sup>+</sup>: 327.0150, found 327.0143. Bistriflate of 54: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.52 (s, 1H), 5.36 (s, 2H), 2.91 (q, 2H, J = 7.6 Hz), 1.27 (t, 3H, J = 7.6 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 165.3, 152.3, 147.4, 144.4, 132.8, 118.9, 118.5 (OTf, q, J = 319 Hz), 118.3 (OTf, q, J = 318 Hz), 115.8  $(Ar-CH)$ , 68.6, 18.5  $(CH<sub>2</sub>)$ , 13.2  $(CH<sub>3</sub>)$ .

6-Ethyl-7-methoxy-1-oxo-1,3-dihydroisobenzofuran-5-yl **Trifluoromethanesulfonate (56).** To a stirred solution of 55 (4  $g$ , 0.012 mol) in dry acetone (140 mL) was added  $K_2CO_3$  (6.8 g, 0.049 mol). The mixture was stirred for 5 min, and then MeI (4 mL, 0.06 mol) was added dropwise at 0 °C. The reaction flask was stoppered. The resulting reaction mixture was allowed to come to rt, with stirring continued for 12 h. After completion of the reaction, the suspended acetone solution was filtered and the filtrate evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was treated with ethyl acetate (90 mL) and water (30 mL). The layers were separated, and the aqueous part was extracted with ethyl acetate  $(3 \times 90 \text{ mL})$ . The combined extracts were worked up in the usual manner. The crude residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel to afford compound 56 (4.17 g, 100%) as a colorless liquid.  $R_f = 0.3$  (10% ethyl acetate–petroleum ether); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 200 MHz):  $\delta$  7.13 (s, 1H), 5.27 (s, 2H), 4.20 (s, 3H), 2.78 (q, 2H,  $J = 7.5$  Hz), 1.18 (t, 3H,  $J = 7.5$  Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl3, 50 MHz): δ 167.3, 159.0, 152.1, 147.4, 131.1, 118.4 (q,  $CF_3$ , J = 318 Hz), 116.3, 109.7, 68.6, 63.4, 17.8, 13.8; IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  1765, 1613, 1545, 1527, 1460, 1419, 1362, 1318, 1292, 1215, 1138, 1109, 1082, 1011, 967, 943, 867, 810, 764, 732, 697; HRMS (ES +)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{12}H_{11}F_3O_6S$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 341.0306, found 341.0298.

Methyl 6-Ethyl-7-methoxy-1-oxo-1,3-dihydroisobenzofuran-5-carboxylate (57). To compound 56  $(1.02 \text{ g}, 0.003 \text{ mol})$ taken in an oven-dried pressure tube were added dppf ( $24 \times 10^{-3}$  mol, 0.083 g), Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub> (15 × 10<sup>-3</sup> mol, 0.033 g), MeOH (12 mL), Et<sub>3</sub>N  $(93 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mol}, 1.29 \text{ mL})$ , and finally DMF  $(15 \text{ mL})$  sequentially in a  $N<sub>2</sub>$  atmosphere. Freshly generated CO gas was then allowed to purge through the reaction mixture for 10 min. The pressure tube was then sealed with a teflon cap and heated at 90−120 °C for 17 h. After completion of the reaction (checked by TLC), the reaction mixture was cooled to rt, and water (90 mL) and diethyl ether (100 mL) were added. The layers were separated. The aqueous part was then extracted with diethyl ether  $(3 \times 50 \text{ mL})$ . The combined organic layer was subjected to the usual workup. Column chromatography of the

resulting crude gummy material gave compound 57 (340 mg, 50%) as a colorless crystal. This reaction also provides a white solid compound in 10% yield, which was characterized as 58.  $R_f = 0.3$  (10% ethyl acetate−petroleum ether); mp 57–59 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 200 MHz):  $\delta$  7.49 (s, 1H), 5.25 (s, 2H), 4.13 (s, 3H), 3.94 (s, 3H), 2.94 (q, 2H,  $J = 7.4$  Hz), 1.19 (t, 3H,  $J = 7.4$  Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 50 MHz): δ 168.3, 167.7, 158.3, 145.9, 139.0, 138.1, 119.1, 117.9, 69.0, 63.0, 63.4, 52.8, 20.6, 15.5; IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  1752, 1723, 1686, 1654, 1615, 1585, 1561, 1544, 1525, 1509, 1457, 1413, 1365, 1299, 1254, 1223, 1113, 1083, 1019, 996, 908, 782; HRMS (ES+) m/z calcd for  $C_{13}H_{15}O_5$   $[M + H]^+$ : 251.0919, found 251.0901; X-ray structure provided.

6-Ethyl-7-methoxy-3H-isobenzofuran-1-one (58).  $R_f = 0.8$ (20% ethyl acetate−petroleum ether); white needle-shaped solid; mp 144−145 °C; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (CDCl3, 200 MHz): δ 7.49 (d, 1H, J = 7.6 Hz), 7.08 (d, 1H, J = 7.6 Hz), 5.22 (s, 2H), 4.10 (s, 3H), 2.72 (q, 2H, J  $= 7.5$  Hz), 1.21 (t, 3H, J = 7.5 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 50 MHz):  $\delta$ 169.0, 157.4, 146.9, 137.8, 136.3, 117.0, 116.9, 68.9, 62.8, 22.9, 15.3; HRMS (EI+)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{11}H_{12}O_3$  [M]<sup>+</sup>: 192.0786, found 192.0776.

Methyl 3-Bromo-6-ethyl-7-methoxy-1-oxo-1,3-dihydroisobenzofuran-5-carboxylate (59). To a stirred solution of 57 (1.2 g,  $0.0048$  mol) in dry CCl<sub>4</sub>, in a round bottomed flask, fitted with a condenser and a  $CaCl<sub>2</sub>$  guard tube were added N-bromosuccinimide (0.85 g, 0.0048 mol) and catalytic amount of AIBN. The reaction mixture was then heated at reflux for 1.5 h under the exposure of a 100 W lamp. After 1.5 h, when the reaction was completed, the reaction mixture was filtered and washed with CCl4. The filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting gummy brown residue was chromatographed to afford pure compound 59 (600 mg, 58%, brsm) as a light yellow gum.  $R_f = 0.5$  (10% ethyl acetate–petroleum ether); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 200 MHz):  $\delta$  7.62 (s, 1H), 7.33 (s, 1H), 4.18 (s, 3H), 3.96 (s, 3H), 2.95 (q, 2H, J = 7.4 Hz), 1.20 (t, 3H, J = 7.4 Hz);  $^{13}$ C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 50 MHz):  $\delta$  166.9, 164.5, 158.3, 147.7, 141.1, 138.9, 119.0, 116.3, 74.0, 63.4, 52.8, 20.8, 15.1; IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$ 1752, 1718, 1636,1543, 1458, 1298, 1220, 1104, 1035, 939, 772.

Methyl 6-Ethyl-3-hydroxy-7-methoxy-1-oxo-1,3-dihydroisobenzofuran-5-carboxylate (60). A water (5 mL) suspension of bromo compound 59 (200 mg, 0.61 mmol) was heated at 80 °C for 0.5 h and then diluted with ethyl acetate (30 mL). The layers were separated. The aqueous part was then washed with ethyl acetate  $(3 \times$ 20 mL), and the combined organic part was subjected to the usual workup. Column chromatography of the crude product yielded pure compound 60 in 95% yield (150 mg) as a white solid.  $R_f = 0.2$  (ethyl acetate); mp 55−57 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 200 MHz):  $\delta$  7.64 (s, 1H), 6.52 (d, 1H,  $J = 4.8$  Hz),  $4.14$  (s, 3H), 3.94 (s, 3H), 2.93 (g, 2H,  $J =$ 7.3 Hz), 1.17 (t, 3H, J = 7.4 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 50 MHz):  $\delta$ 167.7, 166.7, 157.5, 146.0, 140.9, 138.2, 119.6, 119.3, 97.0, 63.4, 52.8, 20.6, 15.3; IR (KBr, cm<sup>−1</sup>)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  1732, 1618, 1591, 1459, 1443, 1407, 1292, 1220, 1116, 1082, 1038, 928, 769. HRMS (ES+) m/z calcd for  $C_{13}H_{14}O_6$  [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>: 289.0688, found 289.0687.

Methyl 6-Ethyl-7-methoxy-1-oxo-3-phenylsulfanyl-1,3-dihydroisobenzofuran-5-carboxylate (61). To a stirred solution of 59 (200 mg, 0.608 mmol) in dry  $CH_2Cl_2$  (10 mL) was added  $Et_3N$  (0.1) mL, 0.668 mmol) and thiophenol (0.07 mL, 0.668 mmol) at rt. The reaction mixture was then allowed to stir at rt for 6 h. After completion of the reaction, monitored by TLC, the reaction mixture was diluted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (40 mL) and washed with 10 mL of a 5% aq NaOH solution followed by 10 mL of water. Then the resulting  $CH_2Cl_2$  part was worked up in the usual manner. The crude residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel to afford compound 61 (160 mg, 74%) as a semisolid.  $R_f$  = 0.2 (10% ethyl acetate−petroleum ether); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 200 MHz):  $\delta$  7.66 (s, 1H), 7.51–7.45 (m, 2H), 7.30– 7.25 (m, 3H), 6.64 (s, 1H), 3.97 (s, 3H), 2.89 (q, 2H, J = 7.3 Hz), 1.50 (t, 3H, J = 7.3 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 50 MHz):  $\delta$  167.3, 166.2, 157.8, 145.5, 140.0, 138.0, 134.4 (Ar−CH), 129.9, 129.2 (Ar−CH), 119.1 (Ar−CH), 119.0, 85.6 (CH−SPh), 63.2, 52.8, 20.6 (CH2), 15.4 (one C missing); HRMS (ES+)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{19}H_{18}O_5S$   $[M + H]$ <sup>+</sup>: 359.0953, found 359.0959.

5-(Cyano-hydroxymethyl)-2-ethyl-3-methoxyterephthalic **Acid 1-Methyl Ester (62).** Semisolid;  ${}^{1}H$  NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 200 MHz): 7.88 (s, 1H), 5.73 (s, 1H), 3.95 (s, 1H), 3.93 (s, 1H), 3.02 (q, 2H, J = 7.6 Hz), 1.21 (t, 3H,  $J = 7.6$  Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 50 MHz):  $\delta$ 169.5, 167.2, 157.2, 141.7, 133.8, 132.6, 128.9, 125.0, 118.5, 63.5, 61.2, 52.7, 21.0, 15.2.

Methyl 3-Cyano-6-ethyl-7-methoxy-1-oxo-1,3-dihydroisobenzofuran-5-carboxylate (47). To a stirred suspension of 60  $(200 \text{ mg}, 0.75 \text{ mmol})$  in water  $(10 \text{ mL})$  was added KCN  $(727 \text{ mg},$ 11.3 mmol) in portions, and the mixture was allowed to stir at rt for 10 min. The reaction mixture was then cooled to 0 °C and treated with conc. HCl (1.2 mL, 52 equiv) and again stirred at rt for another 5 h. The reaction mixture was then extracted with ethyl acetate  $(3 \times 40)$ mL), and the combined extracts were subjected to the usual workup to obtain a semisolid compound (cyanohydrin 62), which without further purification was dissolved in  $CHCl<sub>3</sub>$  (10 mL) containing p-TSA (cat.) followed by 1 h of heating. The resulting solution was allowed to stand at rt overnight. CHCl<sub>3</sub> was evaporated, and the residue was charged to column chromatography to obtain compound 47 as a pure yellow semisolid (146 mg, 71%).  $R_f = 0.9$  (90% ethyl acetate–petroleum ether); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 200 MHz):  $\delta$  7.69 (s, 1H), 6.00 (s, 1H), 4.18 (s, 1H), 3.97 (s, 1H), 2.97 (q, 2H,  $J = 7.4$  Hz), 1.20 (t, 3H,  $J = 7.3$ Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 50 MHz):  $\delta$  166.7, 164.9, 158.9, 141.8, 140.7, 139.3, 118.3, 116.9, 113.9, 65.2, 63.7, 53.0, 20.8, 15.2; IR (KBr, cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> )  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  1790, 1729, 1611, 1589, 1451, 1410, 1296, 1254, 1220, 1086, 1017, 910, 767; HRMS (EI+)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{14}H_{13}NO_5$  [M<sup>+</sup>]: 275.0794, found 275.0798.

Methyl 9-Ethyl-6-hydroxy-8-methoxy-3-methyl-7,12-dioxo-1,2,3,4,7,12-hexahydrobenz[a]anthracene-10-carboxylate (63). To a stirred solution of t-BuOLi (117 mg, 1.46 mmol) in THF (7 mL) was added a solution of phthalide 47 (125 mg, 0.454 mmol) in THF (3.5 mL) at −78 °C under an inert atmosphere. The resulting red color solution was stirred at −78 °C for 30 min, after which a solution of acceptor 28 (87 mg, 0.453 mmol) in THF (3.5 mL) was added dropwise. After 1 h at −78 °C, the cooling bath was removed and reaction mixture was allowed to stir at rt overnight. The resulting deep red colored reaction mixture turned yellow when quenched with a saturated aq.  $NH_4Cl$  solution (5 mL). The resulting solution was concentrated, and the residue was diluted with ethyl acetate (15 mL). The ethyl acetate layer was separated from an aqueous layer. The aq part was extracted with ethyl acetate  $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$ . The combined extracts were dried over  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$  and concentrated. The crude product was washed with 15% ethyl acetate−petroleum ether to get 63 (158 mg, 85%) as a pure red solid. mp 162−164 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz): δ 13.16 (s, 1H), 8.40 (s, 1H), 7.05 (s, 1H), 3.97 (s, 3H), 3.95  $(s, 3H)$ , 3.43 (m, 1H), 3.17–3.10 (m, 1H), 3.05 (q, 2H, J = 7.50 Hz), 2.91 (dd, 1H, J = 3 Hz, J = 17 Hz), 2.53–2.46 (m, 1H), 2.02–1.98 (m, 1H), 1.89−1.85 (m, 1H), 1.39−1.32 (m, 1H), 1.24 (t, 3H, J = 7.4 Hz), 1.05 (d, 3H,  $J = 6.4$  Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 50 MHz):  $\delta$  188.4, 184.0, 167.0, 160.9, 159.5, 149.6, 146.8, 137.0, 134.7, 134.2, 129.7, 126.2, 125.0, 124.8, 116.7, 62.9, 52.8, 40.0, 31.7, 28.9, 28.0, 21.7, 20.9, 15.4; IR (KBr, cm<sup>−1</sup>)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  1724, 1636, 1582, 1450, 1337, 1293, 1267, 1228, 1082, 1042, 800; HRMS (EI+)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{24}H_{24}O_6$  [M<sup>+</sup>]: 408.1573, found 408.1575.

Methyl 9-Ethyl-6-hydroxy-8-methoxy-3-methyl-1,7,12-trioxo-1,2,3,4,7,12-hexahydrobenz[a]anthracene-10-carboxylate (65). A CHCl<sub>3</sub> (distilled) solution  $(8 \text{ mL})$  of compound 63  $(20 \text{ mg})$ , 0.049 mmol) was taken in a dry test tube and allowed to stand for 6 h in open air, in sunlight. Removal of CHCl<sub>3</sub> solvent under reduced pressure gave 65 (20 mg, 100%) as a red solid which did not require any purification.  $R_f = 0.2$  (30% ethyl acetate–petroleum ether); mp 86−90 °C ; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (CDCl3, 400 MHz): δ 12.87 (s, 1H), 8.32(s, 1H), 7.00 (s, 1H), 3.96 (s, 1H), 3.94 (s, 1H), 3.06 (q, 2H, J = 7.3 Hz), 2.95−2.91 (m, 2H), 2.65−2.58 (m, 1H), 2.51−2.41 (m, 2H), 1.24 (t, 3H,  $J = 7.4$  Hz), 1.18 (d, 3H,  $J = 6$  Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 50 MHz): δ 197.7, 187.9, 183.2, 166.5, 163.5, 163.6, 159.7, 152.8, 147.4, 137.5, 137.3, 134.6, 128.5, 125.7, 124.8, 121.1, 117.7, 62.9, 52.7, 47.5, 38.7, 30.3, 21.3, 20.8, 15.2; IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  1731, 1700, 1674, 1638, 1587, 1446, 1405, 1367, 1342, 1294, 1229, 1167, 1082, 1040, 798;

HRMS (TOF-ES+)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{24}H_{22}O_7$  [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>: 445.1263, found 445.1275.

Methyl 9-Ethyl-6,8-dimethoxy-3-methyl-1,7,12-trioxo-1,2,3,4,7,12-hexahydrobenz[a]anthracene-10-carboxylate (66). To a stirred solution of 65 (42 mg, 0.099 mmol) in dry acetone  $(5 \text{ mL})$  was added  $\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3$  (90 mg, 0.652 mmol). After 5 min, MeI (0.15 mL, 2.39 mmol) was added dropwise into it at 0 °C. The reaction vessel was stoppered. The resulting reaction mixture was then allowed to come to rt and stirred for 12 h. After completion of the reaction, the suspended acetone solution was filtered and the filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was diluted with water (5 mL) and ethyl acetate (15 mL). The layers were separated, and the aqueous part was extracted with ethyl acetate  $(3 \times$ 10 mL). The combined organic layer was then washed with an aq. saturated  $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$  solution (5 mL), dried over  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ , filtered, and evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by PLC (40% ethyl acetate−petroleum ether) gave 66 (38 mg, 88%) as a pure yellowish solid.  $R_f$  = 0.2 (40% ethyl acetate–petroleum ether); mp 228–230 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 200 MHz):  $\delta$  8.22 (s, 1H), 6.93 (s, 1H), 4.03 (s, 1H), 3.95 (s, 1H), 3.94 (s, 1H), 3.05 (q, 2H, J = 7.3 H3), 3.04−2.90 (m, 2H), 2.73−2.43 (m, 3H), 1.25−1.17 (m, 6H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 50 MHz): δ 197.5, 185.1, 181.9, 166.8, 160.9, 158.3, 151.3, 146.6, 139.6, 135.7, 133.9, 129.1, 127.5, 124.0, 123.4, 114.7, 63.4, 56.9, 52.6, 47.6, 39.1, 30.7, 21.4, 20.9, 15.3; IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  1728, 1679, 1586, 1451, 1294, 1232, 1089, 1043; HRMS (ES+) m/z calcd for  $C_{25}H_{24}O_7$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 437.1600, found 437.1605.

Methyl 2,2-Dichloro-9-ethyl-6,8-dimethoxy-3-methyl-1,7,12-trioxo-1,2,3,4,7,12-hexahydrobenz[a]anthracene-10 carboxylate (67). Freshly prepared dry HCl gas was purged through a solution of 66 (32 mg, 0.073 mmol) in dry  $CH_2Cl_2$  (6 mL) for 10 min. Afterward,  $SO_2Cl_2$  (0.06 mL, 0.74 mmol) was added dropwise. The flask was stoppered, and the mixture was stirred at rt for 2.5 h. Thereafter, solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure, and  $CHCl<sub>3</sub>$  (6 mL) was added to the resulting yellow solid. Again, dry HCl gas was purged through the solution for 10 min,  $SO_2Cl_2$  (0.06 mL, 0.74 mmol) was added, and the mixture was allowed to stir at rt for 60 h. Removal of CHCl<sub>3</sub> under reduced pressure followed by column chromatography gave 67 (30 mg, 94%) as a pure yellow solid.  $R_f = 0.2$ (40% ethyl acetate−petroleum ether); mp 182−186 °C; <sup>1</sup> H NMR  $(CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz): \delta 8.26$  (s, 1H), 6.90 (s, 1H), 4.04 (s, 1H), 3.96 (s, 1H), 3.94 (s, 1H), 3.16−3.02 (m, 4H), 2.86−2.82 (m, 1H), 1.46 (d, 3H, J = 8 Hz), 1.22 (t, 3H, J = 8 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 50 MHz):  $\delta$ 184.1, 183.7, 181.3, 166.7, 161.7, 158.5, 148.3, 147.0, 141.2, 135.9, 133.5, 128.9, 124.9, 123.6, 123.2, 114.2, 92.3, 63.4, 57.1, 52.7, 45.4, 36.5, 20.9, 16.3, 15.3; IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  1727, 1684, 1636, 1588, 1458, 1296, 1233, 1100, 1065, 866, 802. HRMS (EI+) m/z calcd for  $C_{25}H_{22}C_{12}O_7$  [M<sup>+</sup>]: 504.0743, found 504.0739.

Methyl 2-Chloro-9-ethyl-1-hydroxy-6,8-dimethoxy-3-methyl-7,12-dioxo-7,12-dihydrobenz[a]anthracene-10-carboxylate (68). To a methanolic solution (5 mL) of 67 (45 mg, 0.089 mmol) in an oven-dried round bottomed flask, fitted with a condenser, was added NaOMe (15 mg, 0.277 mmol). The red colored solution immediately turned black. It was then heated at reflux for 1 h. After completion of the reaction, methanol was evaporated under reduced pressure, diluted with ethyl acetate (15 mL), and 2 N HCl was also added. After the usual workup, followed by evaporation of ethyl acetate, a black solid was obtained. This, on purification by silica gel column chromatography, gave 68 as a black solid (39 mg, 94%).  $R_f =$ 0.7 (40% ethyl acetate−petroleum ether); mp 188–190 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(CDCl_3, 200 MHz)$ :  $\delta$  12.09 (s, 1H), 8.30 (s, 1H), 7.44 (s, 1H), 7.16  $(s, 1H)$ , 4.02  $(s, 3H)$ , 4.01  $(s, 3H)$ , 3.97  $(s, 3H)$ , 3.05  $(q, 2H, J = 6.7)$ Hz), 2.51 (s, 3H), 1.23 (t, 3H,  $J = 7$  Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 50 MHz): δ 189.8, 184.1, 166.8, 157.7, 154.1, 150.7, 147.6, 140.0, 136.7, 135.9, 133.4, 132.0, 131.9, 127.9, 125.1, 122.1, 120.2, 117.1, 116.0, 63.7, 56.8, 52.9, 21.3, 15.2; IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  1732, 1682, 1653, 1582, 1455, 1400, 1364, 1287, 1260, 1221, 1109, 1056, 866. HRMS  $(EI+)$  *m/z* calcd for  $C_{25}H_{21}ClO_7$  [M<sup>+</sup>]: 468.0976, found 468.0972.  $[M^{+2}]$  peak also found.

Methyl 2-Chloro-9-ethyl-1,6,8-trimethoxy-3-methyl-7,12 dioxo-7,12-dihydrobenz[a]anthracene-10-carboxylate (64). To a stirred solution of 68 (36 mg, 0.077 mmol) in dry acetone (5 mL) was added  $K_2CO_3$  (104 mg, 0.753 mmol). After 5 min, MeI (0.072 mL, 1.15 mmol) was added dropwise at 0 °C and the flask was stoppered. The resulting reaction mixture was then allowed to come to rt. After 12 h of stirring, on completion of reaction, the suspended acetone solution was filtered and the filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was diluted with water (5 mL) and ethyl acetate (15 mL). The layers were separated, and the aqueous part was extracted with ethyl acetate  $(3 \times 15 \text{ mL})$ . The combined organic part was then washed with an aq. saturated  $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$  solution  $(5 \text{ mL})$ , dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by PLC (25% ethyl acetate−petroleum ether) gave 64 (24 mg, 65%) as a red solid.  $R_f = 0.3$  (20% ethyl acetate– petroleum ether); mp 206−208 °C; <sup>1</sup>H (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 200 MHz):  $\delta$  8.15 (s, 1H), 7.41 (s, 1H), 7.31 (s, 1H), 4.05 (s, 3H), 3.40 (s, 3H), 3.97 (s, 3H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 3.06 (q, 2H, J = 7 Hz), 2.55 (s, 3H), 1.23 (t, 3H, J = 7 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta$  185.5, 182.0, 167.1, 158.3, 155.5, 153.8, 145.8, 140.3, 137.4, 136.9, 135.8, 134.8, 129.0, 126.8, 126.5, 123.4, 122.0, 118.2, 111.8, 63.5 61.7, 56.8, 52.8, 21.4, 21.0, 15.4; IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  1732, 1680, 1608, 1588, 1543, 1446, 1408, 1363, 1275, 1226, 1119, 1081, 1053, 869; HRMS (EI+) m/z calcd for  $C_{26}H_{23}ClO_7$  [M<sup>+</sup>]: 482.1132, found 482.1130.

Methyl 2-Chloro-9-ethyl-1,8-dihydroxy-6-methoxy-3-methyl-7,12-dioxo-7,12-dihydrobenz[a]anthracene-10-carboxylate **(69).** To a stirred solution of **64** (16 mg, 0.033 mmol) in dry  $CH_2Cl_2$ (10 mL) was added  $\rm BCl_3$  (1 M  $\rm CH_2Cl_2$  0.208 mL, 0.208 mmol) dropwise under a  $N_2$  atmosphere at −78 °C. The resulting solution immediately turned black after addition of BCl<sub>3</sub>, and it was then allowed to stir at the same temperature for 1 h. The reaction was quenched with water (1 mL) at −78 °C. The usual workup of the resulting mixture using  $CH_2Cl_2$  and  $H_2O$ , followed by evaporation of the organic solvent, gave compound 69 (13 mg, 90%) as a black solid.  $R_f$  = 0.4 (30% ethyl acetate–petroleum ether); mp >255 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz): \delta 12.72$  (s, 1H, OH), 10.80 (s, 1H, OH), 8.12 (s, 1H), 7.54 (s, 1H), 7.25 (s, 1H), 4.10 (s, 3H), 4.00 (s, 3H), 3.06 (q, 2H,  $J = 7.3$  Hz), 2.55 (s, 3H), 1.27 (t, 3H,  $J = 7.2$  Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $(CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): \delta$  189.3, 188.7, 166.8, 160.4, 156.0, 150.5, 143.4, 141.1, 137.5, 137.2, 135.2, 131.0, 127.2, 123.3, 121.3 (Ar−CH), 120.6 (Ar−CH), 117.5 (Ar−CH), 117.3, 115.8, 56.9 (OCH3), 52.9 (OCH3), 21.4, 21.0 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 14.1; HRMS (EI+)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{24}H_{19}ClO_7$  [M<sup>+</sup>]: 454.0819, found 454.0816.

Methyl 2-Chloro-9-ethyl-1,6,8-trihydroxy-3-methyl-7,12 dioxo-7,12-dihydrobenz[a]anthracene-10-carboxylate (70). To a stirred solution of 69 (8 mg, 0.017 mmol) in dry  $CH_2Cl_2$  (5 mL) was added anhydrous AlCl<sub>3</sub> (95 mg, 0.704 mmol, 40 equiv) portionwise under a  $N_2$  atmosphere at 0 °C. The resulting solution gradually turned deep blue, and it was then allowed to stir at rt for 18 h. The reaction was quenched with water (2 mL), and 2 N HCl (1 mL) was added. An usual workup of the mixture using  $CH_2Cl_2$  and H2O, followed by evaporation of organic solvent, gave compound 70 (5 mg, 64%) as a yellowish solid.  $R_f = 0.4$  (15% ethyl acetate− petroleum ether); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 200 MHz):  $\delta$  12.20 (s, 1H, OH), 12.06 (s, 1H, OH), 11.30 (s, 1H), 8.14 (s, 1H), 7.59 (s, 1H), 7.13 (s, 3H), 4.00 (s, 3H), 3.05 (q, 2H, J = 7.3 Hz), 2.51 (s, 3H), 1.28 (t, 3H, J  $= 7.4$  Hz).

**Chlorocyclinone A (1).**<sup>2</sup> To a stirred solution of 70 (5 mg, 0.011) mmol) in dry acetone  $(3 \text{ mL})$  was added  $K_2CO_3$  (6 mg, 0.04 mmol) followed by MeI (1  $\mu$ L, 0.[01](#page-12-0)8 mmol) which was added dropwise at 0 °C, and the flask was stoppered. The resulting reaction mixture was then allowed to come to rt, while stirring was continued for 12 h. The newly formed spot found on the TLC plate showed blue coloration when exposed to  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  vapor. After completion of the reaction, the suspension was filtered and the filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was diluted with water (2 mL) and ethyl acetate (6 mL), and the layers were separated. The aqueous part was extracted with ethyl acetate  $(3 \times 6 \text{ mL})$ . The combined organic part was then washed with aq saturated  $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$  solution (2 mL), dried over  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ , filtered, and evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by PLC (10% ethyl acetate−petroleum ether) gave 1 (2 mg, 39%) as a red solid.  $R_f = 0.5$  (10% ethyl acetate–petroleum ether);

<span id="page-12-0"></span><sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta$  12.10 (s, 1H, OH), 11.50 (s, 1H, OH), 7.98 (s, 1H), 7.43 (s, 1H), 7.36 (s, 1H), 3.99 (s, 3H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 3.04 (q, 2H, J = 7.2 Hz), 2.54 (s, 3H), 1.27 (t, 3H, J = 7.4 Hz);  $^{13}$ C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta$  193.3, 183.6, 166.8, 160.7, 156.6, 154.0, 141.6, 140.8, 139.0, 138.1, 137.3, 133.3, 127.1, 123.0, 119.7, 119.6, 119.2, 117.8, 115.7, 61.4, 52.5, 21.3, 20.7, 14.1; HRMS (EI+)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{24}H_{19}ClO_7$  [M + H<sup>+</sup>]: 455.0897, found 455.0891.

## ■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **9** Supporting Information

 ${}^{1}$ H,  ${}^{13}$ C, 2D spectra for all new compounds and X-ray structure of 57. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

## ■ [AUTHOR INF](http://pubs.acs.org)ORMATION

#### Corresponding Author

\*E-mail: dmal@chem.iitkgp.ernet.in.

#### Notes

The auth[ors declare no competing](mailto:dmal@chem.iitkgp.ernet.in) financial interest.

# ■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to the Science and Engineering Research Board, New Delhi; the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), New Delhi; and IIT Kharagpur for financial support. R.K. is thankful to CSIR for his research fellowship. The authors are also grateful to Prof. Manas Ghorai, IIT Kanpur for interest in the work.

### ■ REFERENCES

(1) Kharel, M. K.; Pahari, P.; Shepherd, M. D.; Tibrewal, N.; Nybo, S. E.; Shaaban, K. A.; Rohr, J. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2012, 29, 264−325.

(2) Potterat, O.; Puder, C.; Wagner, K.; Bolek, W.; Vettermann, R.; Kauschke, S. G. J. Nat. Prod. 2007, 70, 1934−1938.

(3) Torigoshi, K.; Hirano, A.; Sugiura, Y.; Nakajima, S.; Ojiri, K.; Suda, H. 1999, JP 99021263.

(4) (a) Carreno, M.; Urbano, A. Synlett 2005, 1−25. (b) Sato, K.; Asao, N.; Yamamoto, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 8977−8981. (c) Valderrama, J. A.; Gonzalez, M. F.; Colonelli, P.; Vasquez, D. Synlett 2006, 2777−2780. (d) Carreno, M. C.; Somoza, A.; Ribagorda, M.; Urbano, A. Chem.-Eur. J. 2007, 13, 879-890. (e) Kesenheimer, C.; Kalogerakis, A.; Meissner, A.; Groth, U. Chem.-Eur. J. 2010, 16, 8805−8821. (f) Kharel, M. K.; Pahari, P.; Lian, H.; Rohr, J. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 2814−2817. (g) Sissouma, D.; Dequirez, G.; Collet, S.; Guingant, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 2011, 52, 2336−2339. (h) Yang, X. Y.; Fu, B. Q.; Yu, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 12433−12435. (i) Baranczak, A.; Sulikowski, G. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 2012, 53, 1345− 1346. (j) Vanga, D. G.; Kaliappan, K. P. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 2250−2259. (k) Hsu, D. S.; Huang, J. Y. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 2659−2666.

(5) The 14th CRSI National Symposium in Chemistry, NIIST; Trivandrum; India, 2012.

(6) (a) Mal, D.; Pahari, P. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 1892−1918. (b) Mal, D.; Dey, S. Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 9589−9602. (c) Kongsriprapan, S.; Kuhakarn, C.; Deelertpaiboon, P.; Panthong, K.; Tuchinda, P.; Pohmakotr, M.; Reutrakul, V. Pure Appl. Chem. 2012, 84, 1435−1443. (d) Mal, D.; Jana, A. K.; Mitra, P.; Ghosh, K. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 3392−3398. (e) Liau, B. B.; Milgram, B. C.; Shair, M. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 16765-16772.

(7) (a) Mal, D.; Roy, H. N.; Hazra, N. K.; Adhikari, S. Tetrahedron 1997, 53, 2177−2184. (b) Mal, D.; Roy, H. N. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1999, 3167−3171.

(8) Alfaro, I.; Ashton, W.; McManus, L. D.; Newstead, R. C.; Rabone, K. L.; Rogers, Nigel, A. J.; Kernick, W. Tetrahedron 1970, 26, 201− 218.

(9) Tatsuta, K.; Kojima, N.; Chino, M.; Kawazoe, S.; Nakata, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 4961−4964.

(10) Boger, D. L.; Mullican, M. D. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 4033− 4044.

(11) Cambie, R. C.; Rutledge, P. S.; Stevenson, R. J.; Woodgate, P. D. Aust. J. Chem. 1994, 47, 913−920.

(12) Bhatt, M. V.; Perumal, P. T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 22, 2605− 2608.

(13) (a) Okazaki, K.; Nomura, K.; Yoshii, E. Synth. Commun. 1987, 17, 1021−1028. (b) Kaiser, F.; Schwink, l.; Velder, J.; Schmalz, H.-G. Tetrahedron 2003, 59, 3201−3217. (c) Andrey, O.; Sperry, J.; Larsen, U. S.; Brimble, M. A. Tetrahedron 2008, 64, 3912−3927.

(14) (a) Nguyen, T.-H.; Chau, N. T. T.; Castanet, A.-S.; Nguyen, K. P. P.; Mortier, J. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 3419−3429. (b) Nguyen, T.- H.; Chau, N. T. T.; Castanet, A.-S.; Nguyen, K. P. P.; Mortier, J. Org.

Lett. 2005, 7, 2445−2448. (15) Zhang, Y.-H.; Shi, B.-F.; Yu, J.-Q. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 6097−6100.

(16) (a) Marmor, R. S. J. Org. Chem. 1972, 37, 2901−2904. (b) Nouguier, R.; Bertrand, M. P.; Picon, P.; Perfetti, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35, 8171−8172.

(17) Oliver, J. E.; Wilzer, K. R.; Waters, R. M. Synthesis 1990, 1117− 1119.

(18) Bender, C. F.; Yoshimoto, F. K.; Paradise, C. L.; Brabander, J. K. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 11350-11352.

(19) Wilson, Z. E.; Brimble, M. A. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2010, 8, 1284− 1286.

(20) Tamiya, M.; Ohmori, K.; Kitamura, M.; Kato, H.; Arai, T.; Oorui, M.; Suzuki, K. Chem.Eur. J. 2007, 13, 9791−9823.

(21) Supporting Information.

(22) (a) Cabaret, D.; Adediran, S. A.; Garcia Gonzalez, M. J.; Pratt, R. F.; Wakselman, M. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 713−720. (b) The benzylic bromo compound obtained from 34 (or 50) was inseparable from the unreacted starting compound 34 (or 50). Therefore, its thermolysis was carried out with the crude product.

(23) Krohn, K.; Ballwanz, A.; Baltus, W. Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1993, 911−913.

(24) Mei, Y.; Bentley, P. A.; Du, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 2008, 49, 3802− 3804.

(25) (a) Parker, K. A.; Ding, Q. Tetrahedron 2000, 56, 10255−10261.

(b) Parker, K. A.; Ding, Q. Tetrahedron 2000, 56, 10249−10254.

(26) Yu, M.; Snider, B. B. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 4224−4227.

(27) Prugh, J. D.; Deana, A. A.; Wiggins, J. M. Synthesis 1989, 554− 556.

(28) Stevens, C. L.; Beereboom, J. J., Jr.; Rutherford, K. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1955, 77, 4590−4593.

(29) (a) With 4-methoxy-9,10-anthraquinone-2-carboxylic acid, the methylene bridged dimer was formed under Yu's condition. Alternative ways of adding a carbon to C9 by a Marschalk<br>reaction<sup>29b−d</sup> or orthoiodination<sup>29e</sup> of **29** also failed. (b) Dallavalle, S.; Gattinoni, S.; Mazzini, S.; Scaglioni, L.; Merlini, L.; Tinelli, S.; Berettab, G. L.; Zunino, F. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2008, 18, 1484-1489. (c) Krohn, K.; Vitz, J. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 209−219. (d) Gattinoni, S.; Merlini, L.; Dallavalle, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 1049−1051. (e) Mei, T.-S.; Giri, R.; Maugel, N.; Yu, J.-Q. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 5215−5219.

(30) Bringmann, G.; Menche, D.; Kraus, J.; Mü hlbacher, J.; Peters, K.; Peters, E.-M.; Brun, R.; Bezabih, M.; Abegaz, B. M. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 5595−5610.

(31) Mal, D.; Pahari, P.; De, S. R. Tetrahedron 2007, 63, 11781− 11792.

(32) Alagha, A.; Moman, E.; Adamo, M. F. A.; Nolan, K. B.; Chubb, A. J. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2009, 19, 4213−4216.

(33) Bos, M.; Canesso, R.; Inoue-Ohga, N.; Nakano, A.; Takehana, Y.; Sleight, A. J. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 1997, 5, 2165−2171.

(34) See Experimental Section for NMR data.

(35) (a) White, J. D.; Demnitz, F. W. J.; Xu, Q.; Martin, W. H. C. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 2833−2836. (b) Hill, B.; Rodrigo, R. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 5223−[5225.](#page-5-0) [\(c\)](#page-5-0) [Wrigh](#page-5-0)t, P. M.; Myers, A. G. Tetrahedron 2011, 67, 9853−9869.

# <span id="page-13-0"></span>The Journal of Organic Chemistry **Article** 3 and 2008 and 20

(36) (a) Patil, M. L.; Borate, H. B.; Ponde, D. E.; Deshpande, V. H. Tetrahedron 2002, 58, 6615−6620. (b) Owton, W. M. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1994, 2131−2135.